

U.S. DEPARTMENT of STATE

# Climate Adaptation & Resilience Plan 2024-2027



# Climate Adaptation & Resilience Plan 2024-2027



 $\star \star \star$ 

It is the policy of my Administration that climate considerations shall be an essential element of United States foreign policy and national security. The United States will work with other countries and partners, both bilaterally and multilaterally, to put the world on a sustainable climate pathway. The United States will also move quickly to build resilience, both at home and abroad, against the impacts of climate change that are already manifest and will continue to intensify according to current trajectories.

Joseph R. Biden President United States of America Executive Order 14008



### A Letter from the Secretary of State

U.S. DEPARTMENT of STATE

### $\star \star \star$

Climate change presents an existential threat to people and our planet. It imperils our ability to fulfill our organizational mission in profound ways. The Department already sees flooding, wildfires, and extreme weather sever critical communication lines, damage embassies, put the health and safety of our personnel at risk, destabilize societies, and limit aid distribution.

The importance and impacts of climate change are why President Biden directed the U.S. government and the Department of State to place this crisis at the center of our foreign policy and national security. Congress has similarly mandated that agencies prepare for the increasing frequency of natural disasters. I am privileged to lead this unique organization, which has dual leadership roles to play in the global fight against climate change. We collaborate with partners around the world to increase the pace and scale of action to reduce carbon emissions while helping countries prepare for the worst climate impacts. Simultaneously, we're committed to leading by example through reducing our own carbon emissions and integrating climate risks into how we make decisions both now and into the future.

While we have a lot of work ahead to transform our organization, I am proud that this Plan represents the most comprehensive climate risk strategy the Department has ever done. It is the result of extensive data analysis projecting climate risks for every one of our global locations. We owe immense gratitude to our partners across the interagency, from other governments, and academia who contributed to this data effort. Lastly, I thank the employees of the Department who consistently go above and beyond to fulfill our mission despite challenges. Special recognition is due to the many members of the crossfunctional Climate Resilience Working Group who have been working since 2021 under the direction of the Chief Sustainability Officer to coordinate and discuss adaptation efforts and the Bureau of Overseas Buildings Operations' Climate Security and Resilience program for paving the way on data.

This plan is applicable to all domestic and overseas operations. It will endure until amended, superseded, or revoked.

Together, let us confront the climate crisis with resolve and unity, knowing that our collective efforts are essential for a safer, more resilient future.

Antony J. Blinken Secretary of State U.S. Department of State

## **Table of Contents**

| Climate Adaptation & Resilience Plan 2024-20272   |
|---|
| A Letter from the Secretary of State 4  |
| Section 1: Agency Profile   |
| Summary Statement   |
| Section 2: Risk Assessment 13   |
| 2A. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Buildings                            |
| 2B. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Employees                            |
| 2C. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Lands, Waters and Cultural Resources |
| 2D. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Mission, Operations and Services 28          |
| 2E. Impacts from and Exposure to Additional Hazards   |
| Section 3: Implementation Plan 37   |
| 3A. Addressing Climate Hazard Impacts and Exposure  |
| 3B. Climate-Resilient Operations 50   |
| 3C. Climate Training and Capacity Building for a Climate Informed Workforce                     |
| 3D. Summary of Major Milestones70   |
| Section 4: Demonstrating Progress73   |
| 4A. Measuring Progress  |

| 4B. Adaptation in Action                     | 80 |
|--|----|
| Appendices                                   | 82 |
| Appendix A: Risk Assessment Data             | 82 |
| Appendix B: Overseas Climate Adaptation Plan |    |

### **Section 1: Agency Profile**

| Mission  | To protect and promote U.S. security, prosperity,<br>and democratic values and shape an international<br>environment in which all Americans can thrive.                              |
|--|--|
| Agency Climate Adaptation Official                   | Caroline D'Angelo, Deputy Chief Sustainability<br>Officer  |
| Agency Risk Officer                                  | State's enterprise risk management is overseen by<br>the Department's Enterprise Risk Management<br>Council (see <u>Foreign Affairs Manual</u> for more<br>information)              |
| Point of Public Contact for<br>Environmental Justice | Allison Waters, Bureau of Oceans and International<br>Environmental and Scientific Affairs   |
| Domestic   | 101 buildings/structures in the Department's<br>custody and control: 5,157,048 square feet. (Master<br>Reference Data (MRD) Report, October 2023)                                    |
|  | 71 buildings/structures leased by the Department<br>from commercial or other non-federal entities:<br>3,638,625 square feet. (MRD Report, October 2023)                              |
|  | <ul><li>44 buildings/structures occupied by the Department</li><li>and owned by GSA or another non-State agency:</li><li>3,593,275 square feet. (MRD Report, October 2023)</li></ul> |

|           | 168 locations where the Department has a limited     |
|-----------|--|
|           | personnel presence on another entity's facility and  |
|           | no administrative authority. Square footage is not   |
|           | tracked at these locations. (MRD Report, October     |
|           | 2023)  |
|           |  |
| Overseas  | Owned Buildings: 10,106 owned buildings:             |
|           | 84,576,821 square feet (Federal Real Property        |
|           | Profile (FRPP) FY 2023)                              |
|           | Lessed Duildinger 14,400 huildinger 40,720,452       |
|           | Leased Buildings: 14,496 buildings: 40,738,452       |
|           | square feet (Federal Real Property Profile (FRPP) FY |
|           | 2023)  |
| Employees | Domostic U.S. Diroct Hiros: 17.052 (Rurozu of Global |
| Employees | Talent Management (GTM) Eastsheet December           |
|           |  |
|           | 2023)  |
|           | Overseas U.S. Direct Hires: 9,168 (GTM Factsheet,    |
|           | December 2023)                                       |
|           | Locally Employed Staff: 50 422 (GTM Eactsboot        |
|           | Docombor 2022)                                       |
|           | December 2025)                                       |
|           | Contractors: N/A; The Department does not            |
|           | centrally track this.                                |
|           |  |
| Budget    | \$47.1 Billion - FY22 Enacted Div. K, P.L. 117-103   |
|           |  |
|           | \$45.4 Billion - FY23 Enacted Div. K, P.L. 117-328   |

|  | \$45.1 Billion - FY24 Enacted Div. F, P.L. 118-47 |
|--|---|
|  | FY25 International Affairs Budget – United States |
|  | Department of State                               |
| Key Areas of Climate Adaptation Effort | Current: Facilities, Supply Chain, Emergency      |
|  | Preparedness and Management, President's          |
|  | Emergency Plan for Adaptation and Resilience      |
|  | (PREPARE). Future: Foreign Assistance and         |
|  | Programing  |
|  |   |

### **Summary Statement**

Climate change threatens the State Department's ability to deliver on its mission. The Department already sees flooding, wildfires, and extreme weather sever critical communication lines, damage embassies, put the health and safety of our personnel at risk, destabilize societies, and limit aid distribution. Without an actionable, enterprise-wide strategy to address climate risks, the Department could experience short- and long-term local and regional disruptions similar to what happened during the COVID-19 pandemic. Through this Plan, the Department is taking several notable measures, including assessing the impacts of climate change on its mission and exposure to its facilities and personnel to climate and other natural hazard, and identifying initial steps to ensure that the Department can continue to advance American foreign policy and be resilient to 21<sup>st</sup> century challenges.

Even under the most conservative emissions scenarios, the Department can expect nearly two thirds of its overseas facilities and 90% of personnel to be exposed to extreme heat events and one third of facilities to be impacted by some kind of flooding by the middle of the century. Domestically, almost all the Department's facilities will experience increased extreme heat and precipitation events, and by the end of the century, our operations in Charleston will likely be under water.

President Biden directed the U.S. government and the Department of State to place the climate crisis at the center of our foreign policy and national security and lead by example through decarbonizing our operations and preparing for climate impacts. This requires an all-of-Department response. The Department is hard at work to mobilize global action and transform how climate risk is managed across the agency, but there is still much to do.

The 2024-2027 Climate Adaptation Plan was developed across the Department in alignment with the Disaster Resilience Planning Act, Executive Order 14008, Executive Order 14057, and the President's Emergency Plan for Adaptation and Resilience (PREPARE). Our goals are:

1. Protect U.S. personnel globally and American citizens abroad;

- 2. Ensure the continuity of mission critical services;
- 3. Avoid financial risks to protect taxpayer dollars;
- 4. Equip staff with the knowledge and tools they need; and
- 5. **Lead** by example through development and implementation of sustainable and resilient best practices.

To achieve these goals, the Department has created an inventory of global climate risks for our diplomatic mission functions. Our next steps include:

- Climate Risk Inventory: Continue to identify, assess, and monitor global threats.
- Decision-Making Makeover: Integrate climate risks into all strategic planning and budget processes.
- **Risk and Climate-Smart Workforce**: Develop a training and human capital plan to identify priority needs for additional staff, resources, and training.
- **Resilient Operations:** Embed climate risk mitigation into everyday procedures, from emergency management to host country engagement.
- Track Progress: Monitor performance and adapt the plan as needed.

This plan is applicable to all domestic and overseas operations. It is effective immediately and will remain so until amended, superseded, or revoked.

### Section 2: Risk Assessment

The Department assessed the exposure of its buildings; employees; and lands, waters, and cultural and natural resources to five climate hazards: extreme heat, extreme precipitation, sea level rise, flooding, and wildfire risk. Additionally, the Department assessed risk to its overseas buildings and employees to other natural and climate hazards, including tsunami, extreme wind, water stress, earthquakes, and volcanos.

The Department modified the White House-provided Federal Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Action using internal real property data to screen all domestic properties<sup>1</sup>. For overseas diplomatic mission locations, the Bureau of Overseas Buildings Operations (OBO) maintains a separate screening tool that overlays global natural hazard exposure information with our real property locations (see datasets and hazards considered for overseas facilities in Appendix B). The resulting screening assessments will enable continued climate hazard evaluations. Maps and further information are available in the appendices.

### **Risk for Agency Domestic Facilities:**

The Department of State occupies 384 properties in the United States, Puerto Rico, and Guam. The majority (238) of these properties are leased, 89 are owned by the Department, and 57 are owned by the General Services Administration (GSA). The portfolio includes offices, lots, warehouses, multipurpose spaces, and structures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Notes on terminology: "Properties," "buildings," and "facilities" may be used interchangeably; "Personnel" refers to different subsets of people depending on the domestic or overseas context (more information is provided in the below sections).

| Scenario Descriptor | Summary Description from <u>5<sup>th</sup> National Climate Assessment</u><br>(NCA5) |
|---------------------|--|
| RCP 8.5: Very High  | Among the scenarios described in NCA5, RCP 8.5 reflects the highest                  |
| Scenario            | range of carbon dioxide ( $CO_2$ ) emissions and no mitigation. Total                |
|                     | annual global CO <sub>2</sub> emissions in 2100 are quadruple emissions in           |
|                     | 2000. Population growth in 2100 doubles from 2000. This scenario                     |
|                     | includes fossil fuel development.  |
| RCP 4.5:            | This scenario reflects reductions in CO <sub>2</sub> emissions from current          |
| Intermediate        | levels. Total annual $CO_2$ emissions in 2100 are 46% less than the                  |
| Scenario            | year 2000. Mitigation efforts include expanded renewable energy                      |
|                     | compared to 2000.  |

### Table 1: Climate Scenarios Considered in Agency Risk Assessment

Additional details about the data used in this assessment are provided in Appendix A.

### Table 2: Climate Data Used in Agency Risk Assessment for Domestic Facilities

(descriptions below are not applicable for Overseas Facilities, see footnotes and Appendix B for further information on overseas data)

| Hazard        | Description   | Scenario | Geographic |
|---------------|---|----------|------------|
|               |   |          | Coverage   |
| Extreme       | Measured as whether an asset is projected to be                 | RCP 4.5  | CONUS      |
| Heat          | exposed to an increased number of days with                     |          |            |
|               | temperatures exceeding the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of daily | RCP 8.5  | CONUS      |
|               | maximum temperatures (calculated annually),                     |          |            |
|               | calculated with reference to 1976-2005. Data are                |          |            |
|               | from high-resolution, downscaled climate model                  |          |            |
|               | projections based on the Localized Constructed                  |          |            |
|               | Analogs (LOCA) dataset prepared for the 4th                     |          |            |
|               | National Climate Assessment.                                    |          |            |
| Extreme       | Measured as whether an asset is projected to be                 | RCP 4.5  | CONUS      |
| Precipitation | exposed to an increased number of days with                     |          |            |
|               | precipitation amounts exceeding the 99th                        | RCP 8.5  | CONUS and  |
|               | percentile of daily maximum precipitation amounts               |          | AK         |
|               | (calculated annually), with reference to 1976-2005.             |          |            |
|               | Data are from high-resolution, downscaled climate               |          |            |
|               | model projections based on the LOCA dataset                     |          |            |
|               | prepared for the 4th National Climate Assessment.               |          |            |
| Sea Level     | Measured as whether an asset is within the                      | RCP 4.5  | CONUS and  |
| Rise          | inundation extents from NOAA Coastal Digital                    |          | PR         |
|               | Elevation Models and the 2022 Interagency Sea                   | RCP 8.5  | CONUS and  |
|               | Level Rise Technical Report. Intermediate and                   |          | PR         |
|               | Intermediate-High sea level rise scenarios used as              |          |            |
|               | proxies for RCP 4.5 and 8.5, respectively.                      |          |            |

| Hazard        | Description  | Scenario   | Geographic    |
|---------------|--|------------|---------------|
|               |  |            | Coverage      |
| Wildfire Risk | Measured as whether an asset is in a location is         | Historical | All 50 States |
|               | rated as high, very high, or extreme risk based on       |            |               |
|               | the U.S. Forest Service Wildfire Risk to Potential       |            |               |
|               | Structures (a data product of Wildfire Risk to           |            |               |
|               | Communities), which estimates the likelihood of          |            |               |
|               | structures being lost to wildfire based on the           |            |               |
|               | probability of a fire occurring in a location and likely |            |               |
|               | fire intensity. Data reflects wildfires and other        |            |               |
|               | major disturbances as of 2014.                           |            |               |
| Flooding      | Measured as whether an asset is located within a         | Historical | All 50 States |
|               | 100-year floodplain (1% annual chance of flooding)       |            | and PR        |
|               | or 500-year floodplain (0.2% annual chance of            |            |               |
|               | flooding), as mapped by the Federal Emergency            |            |               |
|               | Management Agency National Flood Hazard Layer.           |            |               |

Exposure to extreme heat, extreme precipitation, and sea level rise were evaluated at mid-(2050) and late-century (2080) under two emissions scenarios, Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP) 4.5 and RCP 8.5. Exposure to flooding and wildfire risk were only evaluated for the present day due to data constraints.

# 2A. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Buildings

### Table 3: Domestic Indicators of Exposure of Buildings to Climate Hazards

| Indicators of Exposure of Buildings to Climate   | RCP 4.5   | RCP 4.5   | RC   | P 8.5  | RCP 8.5  |
|--|-----------|-----------|------|--------|----------|
| Hazards  | 2050      | 2080      | 20   | 050    | 2080     |
| <b>Extreme Heat:</b> Percent of buildings projected<br>to be exposed to more days with<br>temperatures exceeding the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of<br>daily maximum temperatures (calculated<br>annually) from 1976-2005.               | 98%       | 98%       | 98%  | ,<br>2 | 98%      |
| <b>Extreme Precipitation:</b> Percent of buildings projected to be exposed to more days with precipitation amounts exceeding the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of daily maximum precipitation amount (calculated annually) from 1976-2005. | 97%       | 98%       | 98%  | ,<br>) | 97%      |
| Sea Level Rise: Percent of buildings projected to be inundated by sea level rise   | 7.29%     | 8.85%     | 7.29 | 9%     | 10.42%   |
| N/A  | High Risk | Very High | Risk | Extr   | eme Risk |
| Wildfire: Percent of buildings at highest risk to wildfire.  | 6%        | 0%        |      | 0%     |          |

| Indicators of Exposure of Buildings to Climate                    | RCP 4.5                      | RCP 4.5 | RCP 8.5 | RCP 8.5 |  |
|---|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| Hazards   | 2050                         | 2080    | 2050    | 2080    |  |
| N/A   | 100- or 500- year floodplain |         |         |         |  |
| <b>Flooding:</b> Percent of buildings located within floodplains. | 9.38%                        |         |         |         |  |

We assessed the following climate hazard exposures to our portfolio for mid-century and latecentury (future projections are based on Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) for mid-(4.5) and high-(8.5) levels of emissions):

- Extreme Heat: Almost all domestic facilities will see an increase in extreme heat exposure. On average, we estimate 3-4 days of extreme heat across the portfolio in 2024 and project a range of 11-55 extreme heat days by 2080.
- Extreme Precipitation: Almost all buildings will experience an increase in maximum precipitation days, with most seeing a 20 to 50 percent increase.

**Examples from the field:** The Foreign Affairs Security Training Center (FASTC) experienced a severe ice storm in 2021 that caused heavy ice buildup on trees that collapsed knocking down power lines. It took several weeks for the local utility to restore power to the area, impacting an already full training schedule at FASTC. Delayed training at FASTC can, in turn, delay deployment of foreign service officers to high-threat and other mission critical posts abroad.



Figure 1 The Foreign Affairs Security Training Center (FASTC) severe ice storm in 2021.

- Sea Level Rise: Seven to ten percent of domestic properties will be permanently inundated from sea level rise over the next 30 to 70 years.<sup>2</sup>
- **Flooding:** Six percent of the Department's properties are in a 100-year floodplain and four percent are in a 500-year floodplain. However, localized flooding during severe storms (see "Other Hazards" section below) already impacts facilities.
- Wildfire: Six percent of buildings have high wildfire risk.

### **Risk for Agency Overseas Facilities:**

The Department occupies 24,602 properties overseas, including office buildings, warehouses, and owned and leased residences for Foreign Service personnel in over 170 countries. In 2020, OBO established a Climate Security & Resilience (CS&R) Program and developed a Climate Hazards screening tool for ten climate and natural hazards over several time horizons. CS&R largely uses publicly available information but has modified and/or supplemented that information for internal screening purposes. Global availability of local, sufficiently granular

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The data analysis, with sea levels taken from the 2022 Interagency Sea Level Rise Technical Report, accounts for inundation happening within 200 meters of a property location.

data for climate and natural hazards has posed, and will continue to pose, a significant challenge. As CS&R started developing this tool prior to E.O. 14008 and E.O. 14057, the methodology is different than the domestically applicable Federal Mapping App, but the overall approach is analogous. Methodology and data source variations are noted in Appendix B.

Summary findings include:

- Extreme Heat: Between 2024 and 2035, 60 percent of overseas properties will face an increase in the number of extreme heat days of 130°F or more. By 2065, 64 to 74 percent of facilities will experience this increase; by 2100, it may be as high as 88 percent. Posts represented by the Bureaus of East Asian and Pacific Affairs (EAP) and African Affairs (AF) are most exposed.
- Sea Level Rise/Coastal Flooding<sup>3</sup>: Three percent of the Department's overseas properties could be inundated by 2065, and four by 2100. The Bureau of Near Eastern Affairs (NEA) is the most exposed.
- Riverine Flooding<sup>4</sup>: About one-third of our overseas facilities are exposed to riverine flooding<sup>5</sup> in 2024. We anticipate that this number will increase slightly from 33 percent to 36 percent of overseas properties through 2050 and 2080 respectively.
- Extreme Precipitation<sup>6</sup>: 23 percent of our overseas properties are exposed to extreme precipitation. Properties in EAP, AF, and the Western Hemisphere Affairs (WHA) are the most significantly impacted, with over 30 percent of the locations exposed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The DOS International Coastal Flooding metric indicates a 100-yr flood depth of  $\ge$  2m; it is a comprehensive measure of coastal inundation and compares extreme high tide to elevation data and incorporates projected factors including sea level rise and vertical land movement (e.g., land subsidence).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For overseas properties, the Department is utilizing its analysis of riverine flooding in replacement of specific floodplains. The DOS International Riverine Flooding metric indicates inundation > or equal to 0.5m for the 500-, 100-, and 25-year riverine flood return periods. This data is based on two models (GAR15 and WRI Aqueducts) where we counted any diplomatic post that was exposed in one or both models. Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2050 and 2080 time horizons, respectively (aligning with domestic time horizons).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Note that this metric does not account for potential exposure to pluvial (rainfall-induced) flooding.

 Wildfires<sup>7</sup>: Ten percent of the Department's overseas properties are at high or very high exposure to wildfire now.

| Table 4: | Climate | Hazard     | Exposure | to O | verseas        | Buildings |
|----------|---------|------------|----------|------|----------------|-----------|
|          | Cinnate | i la La la | Exposure |      | <b>verseus</b> | Danango   |

| Climate Hazard Exposure to Buildings             | Current  | RCP4.5  | RCP4.5  | RCP8.5  | RCP8.5  |
|--|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (Overseas <sup>8</sup> )                         | (Baselin | (2065)  | (2100)  | (2065)  | (2100)  |
|  | e to     | Mid-    | Late-   | Mid-    | Late-   |
|  | 2035)    | Century | Century | Century | Century |
| Extreme Heat: Percent of agency                  | 60%      | 64%     | 66%     | 74%     | 88%     |
| Federal buildings located in areas               |          |         |         |         |         |
| projected to be exposed to an increase           |          |         |         |         |         |
| in the annual number of days with the            |          |         |         |         |         |
| heat index exceeding the National                |          |         |         |         |         |
| Weather Service's "Extreme Danger"               |          |         |         |         |         |
| threshold of 130 degrees Fahrenheit <sup>9</sup> |          |         |         |         |         |
| Coastal Flooding: Percent of agency              | 2%       | 3%      | 3%      | 3%      | 4%      |
| Federal buildings projected to be                |          |         |         |         |         |
| inundated by coastal flooding                    |          |         |         |         |         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> DOS International Wildfire metric indicates locations at high or very high exposure to structural burning due to wildfires. The internal screening data is based on MODIS active fire data, global biome data, land cover data, and wildland-urban interface data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Table notes: The Department of State built its own overseas screening tool prior to E.O. 14008 and E.O. 14057 that uses different time horizons, baselines, and data sets from the tool used to assess the domestic portfolio, but the overall goal and approach is analogous. Unless otherwise noted, mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100-time horizons respectively (differing from the domestic time horizons of 2050 and 2080). Future projections for extreme precipitation and wildfire were not available as of the date of this report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For equivalent comparison, the "Current" metric compares the baseline data with the near-term 2035.

| Climate Hazard Exposure to Buildings            | Current  | RCP4.5  | RCP4.5  | RCP8.5  | RCP8.5  |
|---|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (Overseas <sup>8</sup> )                        | (Baselin | (2065)  | (2100)  | (2065)  | (2100)  |
|   | e to     | Mid-    | Late-   | Mid-    | Late-   |
|   | 2035)    | Century | Century | Century | Century |
| Riverine Flooding: Percent of agency            | 31%      | 33%     | 35%     | 35%     | 36%     |
| Federal buildings projected to be               |          |         |         |         |         |
| inundated by riverine flooding $\frac{10}{2}$   |          |         |         |         |         |
| Extreme Precipitation: Percent of               | 23%      | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     |
| agency Federal buildings currently              |          |         |         |         |         |
| exposed to extreme precipitation $\frac{11}{2}$ |          |         |         |         |         |
|   |          |         |         |         |         |
| Wildfires: Percent of agency Federal            | 10%      | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     |
| buildings currently exposed to High or          |          |         |         |         |         |
| Very High Risk for Wildfires                    |          |         |         |         |         |
|   |          |         |         |         |         |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Riverine Flooding data is based on 2050 (mid-century) and 2080 (late-century) timelines.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The Department of State (DOS) [define the acronym] International Extreme Precipitation metric indicates an area of heavy precipitation (98<sup>th</sup> percentile  $\ge$  20mm per Zhang et al. (2011)) with  $\ge$  1.0 average extreme precipitation days per year and an increasing trend in average extreme precipitation days.



Figure 2 Aerial view of flooding in Houston caused by Hurricane Harvey, Aug. 31, 2017. After hurricanes Harvey and Maria impacted Department facilities in 2017, the Bureau of Administration Office of Emergency Management led teams of incident management personnel who traveled to Texas and Puerto Rico to provide life, health, and safety coordination to the international community. Photo by Tech. Sgt Larry Reid Jr Courtesy of State Magazine

# 2B. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Employees

Domestically, the Department employs more than 12,000 direct hire Civil Service employees<sup>12</sup>; more than half are in the National Capital Region. The Department's analysis found:

• Extreme Heat: Essentially all Department employees will be exposed to increases in the number of extreme heat days by 2050. Almost all employees will see a three- to ten-fold increase in the number of extreme heat days by 2050, and up to 15-fold by 2080.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Department was directed to use the White House and National Oceanic and Atmospheric Agency-developed Federal Mapper tool for analysis. The associated personnel dataset does not account for Foreign Service Officers in domestic postings and/or contractors the number. See Appendix A for more information.

- Extreme Precipitation: Essentially all Department employees will experience a two- to five-fold increase in extreme precipitation days by 2080.
- Sea Level Rise<sup>13</sup>: At mid-century, under both high and low emissions scenarios, 12 percent of personnel are expected to work in counties that have some level of inundation from sea level rise.
- Wildfire: Three percent of personnel are in locations with high, very high, or extreme wildfire risk. Air pollution is an additional risk noted the in "Other Hazards" section.

### Table 5: Indicators of Exposure of Domestic Employees to Climate Hazards

| Indicators of Exposure of Employees to               | RCP 4.5 | RCP 4.5 | RCP  | RCP  |
|--|---------|---------|------|------|
| Climate Hazards                                      | 2050    | 2080    | 8.5  | 8.5  |
|  |         |         | 2050 | 2080 |
| Extreme Heat: Percent of employees duty-             | 100%    | 100%    | 100% | 100% |
| stationed in counties projected to be                |         |         |      |      |
| exposed to more days with temperatures               |         |         |      |      |
| exceeding the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of daily   |         |         |      |      |
| maximum temperatures (calculated                     |         |         |      |      |
| annually), from 1976-2005.                           |         |         |      |      |
| Extreme Precipitation: Percent of employees          | 100%    | 100%    | 100% | 100% |
| duty-stationed in counties projected to be           |         |         |      |      |
| exposed to more days with precipitation              |         |         |      |      |
| amounts exceeding the 99 <sup>th</sup> percentile of |         |         |      |      |
| daily maximum precipitation amount                   |         |         |      |      |
| (calculated annually), from 1976-2005.               |         |         |      |      |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The data analysis, with sea levels taken from the 2022 Interagency Sea Level Rise Technical Report, accounts for inundation happening within a county where personnel work.

| Indicators of Exposure of Employees to     | RCP 4.5   | RCP 4.5        | RCP    | RCP     |
|--|-----------|----------------|--------|---------|
| Climate Hazards                            | 2050      | 2080           | 8.5    | 8.5     |
|  |           |                | 2050   | 2080    |
| Sea Level Rise: Percent of employees duty- | 12%       | 91%            | 12%    | 91%     |
| stationed in counties projected to be      |           |                |        |         |
| inundated by sea level rise.               |           |                |        |         |
| N/A  | High Risk | Very High Risk | Extrem | ne Risk |
| Wildfire: Percent of employees duty-       | 1%        | 1%             | 1      | %       |
| stationed in counties at highest risk to   |           |                |        |         |
| wildfire                                   |           |                |        |         |

Overseas, there are substantial climate impacts for personnel. The Department of State used the F-77 Report of Potential Evacuees to estimate overseas staffing for U.S. Direct Hire staff, eligible family members, and Locally Employed Staff. For this section, "personnel" refers to all the above, even if they may not be employed by the Department of State. Key findings from the report include:

- Extreme Heat: Almost all overseas personnel will face increases in extreme heat exposure by 2065 and 2100, with EUR, WHA, and NEA seeing the greatest increases.
- Riverine Flooding: By late-century (2080), forty percent of personnel may be exposed to riverine flooding, with EUR and NEA regions most exposed.
- Extreme Precipitation: In 2024, about one quarter of personnel are exposed to extreme precipitation, particularly in EAP, AF, and WHA.
- Coastal Flooding: Overall, the number of personnel exposed to coastal flooding may be four percent by 2100, with NEA most exposed.
- Wildfires: In 2024, 11 percent of personnel are exposed to wildfires at a high or very high level, especially in AF. Future projections are not available for this metric.

| Climate Hazard Exposure to Personnel   | Current | RCP4.5  | RCP4.5  | RCP8.5  | RCP8.5  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (Overseas) <sup>14</sup>               |         | (2065)  | (2100)  | (2065)  | (2100)  |
|  |         | Mid-    | Late-   | Mid-    | Late-   |
|  |         | Century | Century | Century | Century |
| Extreme Heat: Percent of agency        | 48%     | 87%     | 88%     | 90%     | 95%     |
| Federal personnel located in areas     |         |         |         |         |         |
| projected to be exposed to an increase |         |         |         |         |         |
| in the annual number of days with the  |         |         |         |         |         |
| heat index exceeding the National      |         |         |         |         |         |
| Weather Service's "Extreme Danger"     |         |         |         |         |         |
| threshold of 130 degrees Fahrenheit    |         |         |         |         |         |
| Coastal Flooding: Percent of agency    | 2%      | 3%      | 3%      | 3%      | 4%      |
| personnel projected to be inundated by |         |         |         |         |         |
| coastal flooding                       |         |         |         |         |         |
| Riverine Flooding: Percent of agency   | 37%     | 40%     | 41%     | 41%     | 42%     |
| personnel projected to be inundated by |         |         |         |         |         |
| riverine flooding <sup>15</sup>        |         |         |         |         |         |
| Extreme Precipitation: Percent of      | 24%     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     |
| agency personnel currently exposed to  |         |         |         |         |         |
| extreme precipitation                  |         |         |         |         |         |

### Table 6: Climate Hazard Exposure to Personnel Overseas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Represents sum of total personnel count (U.S. Direct Hire staff, eligible family members, and Locally Employed Staff) from F-77 Report of Potential Evacuees at any affected posts. The F-77 report also includes estimates of American citizens in country, however this was not included in the Department's analysis. "Affected post" is defined as any post where the hazard threshold was met either at the primary building (e.g., chancery) or by a weighted average of all facilities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Riverine Flooding data is based on 2050 (mid-century) and 2080 (late-century) timelines.

| Climate Hazard Exposure to Personnel   | Current | RCP4.5  | RCP4.5  | RCP8.5  | RCP8.5  |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (Overseas) <sup>14</sup>               |         | (2065)  | (2100)  | (2065)  | (2100)  |
|  |         | Mid-    | Late-   | Mid-    | Late-   |
|  |         | Century | Century | Century | Century |
| Wildfire: Percent of agency personnel  | 11%     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     |
| currently exposed to High or Very High |         |         |         |         |         |
| Risk for Wildfires                     |         |         |         |         |         |

# **2C.** Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Lands, Waters and Cultural Resources

The Department does not manage any significant Federal land or water outside of the properties on which its buildings reside. The Department does manage artwork and culturally or historically significant buildings and landscapes, including 270 heritage buildings and landscapes overseas, and including more than 16,000 pieces in overseas locations. Such assets are exposed to all hazards which may act to degrade the quality of artwork and/or integrity of heritage buildings and landscapes. Considering future climate projections, exposure and impacts to our overseas assets is anticipated to increase in terms of number of buildings, landscapes, and artwork pieces affected. The Department is leveraging resources noted in section 3 to address a wide variety of hazard impacts.

# 2D. Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Mission, Operations and Services

| Table 7: Summary of Ke | / Current and Projected | <b>Climate Hazard Impacts</b> | and Exposures |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|

| Area of Impact or      | Identified Climate       | Description                                  |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Exposure               | Hazard                   |  |
| Protect, provide       | Flooding (including sea  | Increased requests for evacuation or         |
| assistance to, or      | level rise projections), | emergency support from personnel,            |
| evacuate U.S. citizens | extreme precipitation,   | families, and citizens due to natural hazard |
| abroad.                | extreme heat, and        | crises or destabilizing aftershocks. Such    |
|                        | wildfires.               | crises may prevent employees and citizens    |
|                        |                          | reaching facilities, airports, etc. for      |
|                        |                          | services. Increased need to prepare and      |
|                        |                          | warn American citizens of potential          |
|                        |                          | hazards and emergencies.                     |
| Adjudicate visa and    | Flooding, (including sea | Facilities and IT support for services may   |
| passport applications, | level rise projections), | be inaccessible; access to information to    |
| facilitate lawful      | extreme precipitation,   | adjudicate visas may be reduced or           |
| immigration, provide   | extreme heat, and        | limited; more climate-related demand for     |
| third-country          | wildfires.               | services. Climate change is anticipated to   |
| representation of      |                          | drastically increase migration and           |
| foreign governments    |                          | refugees, which will lead to more visa and   |
| and the                |                          | asylum requests.                             |
| determination of       |                          |  |
| nationality of persons |                          |  |
| outside the United     |                          |  |
| States.                |                          |  |

| Area of Impact or     | Identified Climate       | Description                                  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Exposure              | Hazard                   |  |
| Conduct Public        | Flooding (including sea  | The impacts of climate change can            |
| Diplomacy to advance  | level rise projections), | undermine development gains, exacerbate      |
| U.S. interests around | extreme precipitation,   | geopolitical tensions, and result in greater |
| the world.            | extreme heat, and        | instability and humanitarian need, thus      |
|                       | wildfires.               | intensifying existing and creating new       |
|                       |                          | public diplomacy challenges. As countries    |
|                       |                          | experience climate impacts and prioritize    |
|                       |                          | adaptation, there is a reputational risk for |
|                       |                          | the Department and United States if we       |
|                       |                          | are not seen to be credibly leading          |
|                       |                          | international efforts to address the climate |
|                       |                          | crisis, including delivering adaptation      |
|                       |                          | support. Additionally, exchanges and         |
|                       |                          | events may be canceled due to climate-       |
|                       |                          | related impacts. Increased engagement is     |
|                       |                          | necessary to educate public on risk,         |
|                       |                          | precautions, and what the Department is      |
|                       |                          | doing to lead in climate adaptation and      |
|                       |                          | emergency preparedness.                      |
| Establish and         | Flooding (including sea  | Standard lines of communication may be       |
| maintain operations   | level rise projections), | compromised; facilities may be more          |
| and communications    | extreme precipitation,   | frequently damaged. Additionally,            |
| with overseas posts   | extreme heat, and        | transportation infrastructure systems,       |
| and offices.          | wildfires.               | including roads, runways, ports, and         |
|                       |                          | railway tracks, may be compromised.          |

| Area of Impact or      | Identified Climate       | Description                                  |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Exposure               | Hazard                   |  |
| Establish and          | Flooding (including sea  | The impacts of climate change can            |
| maintain diplomatic    | level rise projections), | undermine development gains, exacerbate      |
| relations with foreign | extreme precipitation,   | geopolitical tensions, and result in greater |
| nations to support     | extreme heat, and        | instability and humanitarian need.           |
| and promote            | wildfires.               | Increased conflict and insecurity in all     |
| international          |                          | forms (physical, food, etc.) could strain    |
| understanding of U.S.  |                          | relations and/or require U.S. evacuations.   |
| policies and           |                          | Increased health impacts from growing        |
| positions.             |                          | disease vectors, increased                   |
|                        |                          | migration/refugee flows, and other factors   |
|                        |                          | could have similar results. Host             |
|                        |                          | governments and civil society under strain   |
|                        |                          | may not be able to easily maintain           |
|                        |                          | relations in a crisis. Increased need for    |
|                        |                          | support and financial aid, with shorter      |
|                        |                          | timelines for delivery. Host governments     |
|                        |                          | could turn to strategic competitors who      |
|                        |                          | can often promise and deliver assistance     |
|                        |                          | faster than the United States.               |
| Develop and maintain   | Flooding (including sea  | Communications with post and partners        |
| international          | level rise projections), | may be reduced. The risk factors listed      |
| situational awareness  | extreme precipitation,   | above could also make it hard or             |
| and report on          | extreme heat, and        | impossible to relay reliable, real-time      |
| conditions overseas    | wildfires.               | information.                                 |
| that bear on foreign   |                          |  |
| policy.                |                          |  |

| Area of Impact or      | Identified Climate       | Description                                  |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Exposure               | Hazard                   |  |
| Execute the foreign    | Flooding (including sea  | Need for increased presence of relevant      |
| policy of the United   | level rise projections), | interagency partners at post to address      |
| States by directing,   | extreme precipitation,   | local climate change issues, but potentially |
| coordinating, and      | extreme heat, and        | reduced capacity to safely host those        |
| supervising            | wildfires.               | partners due to the risk factors described.  |
| interdepartmental      |                          |  |
| activities of the U.S. |                          |  |
| Government abroad.     |                          |  |
| Direct and execute     | Flooding (including sea  | As described above, the ability of the       |
| the formulation and    | level rise projections), | Department to carry out U.S. foreign policy  |
| implementation of      | extreme precipitation,   | objectives could be significantly degraded   |
| the Foreign Policy of  | extreme heat, and        | by climate-security related factors,         |
| the United States.     | wildfires.               | including increasing portions of programs    |
|                        |                          | and budgets directed to climate-related      |
|                        |                          | issues; reduced or strained capacity to      |
|                        |                          | deliver aid.                                 |

The Department evaluated climate exposure to our eight primary mission essential functions, listed in the table. All eight mission essential functions are currently exposed to climate impacts and the Department's ability to carry out all eight could be significantly degraded by worsening climate scenarios.

Flooding (inclusive of Sea-Level Rise), Extreme Precipitation: Flooding and precipitation
will impact the Department's foreign assistance, particularly around disaster relief and
health. Rising sea levels have devastating impacts on key island and coastal nations.
Increased precipitation and changing weather patterns will likely increase incidence of
mosquito-borne illness and other communicable diseases as well negative impacts on

food supply. We will need to adjust our capacity building and aid activities to alleviate severe acute and long-term impacts. The Department has experienced and anticipates future major flooding impacts, including:

- Reduced or blocked access for customers and employees to access and provide consular services.
- Equipment and facility harm, reducing ability to serve customers and impacting mission continuity. For example, severe weather and high tides in 2016 prevented Diplomatic Security personnel onboard a merchant vessel from docking at the Port of Mariel for several days which delayed preparations for the opening of the U.S. Embassy in Havana, Cuba.
- Reduced evacuation services to airport and evacuation routes being restricted because of high water. For example, Manila (2022) and Chennai (2023) both experienced flooding that prohibited access to airports for safe evacuation and, in some cases, shuttered operations.
- Reduced ability to deliver foreign assistance. In Libya, the Department had to halt foreign assistance activities due to high water preventing access to beneficiaries.

**Examples from the field:** Significant rains in Santo Domingo caused a massive landslide which flooded the U.S. Embassy and damaged the computer server rooms, suspending operations and communications.

- Extreme Heat: Extreme heat is impacting Department operations through:
  - Electricity blackouts and brownouts due to failure of overloaded electrical grids, both in the United States and overseas.
  - Airport closures and/or railroad buckling, impacting evacuations, supply chain, and services.
  - Higher maintenance and replacement costs due to faster-degrading equipment and building materials.
  - Personnel morale and health degradations.

- Example from the field: High heat on tarmacs in Mexico City prevented importation of COVID-19 vaccines. To overcome this consistent issue and avoid significant health and safety impacts, the Department had to purchase and ship adequate refrigeration devices to cool the vaccines.
- Wildfires and Air Pollution: Wildfires and severe air pollution events are increasing in frequency and severity due to extreme heat, desertification, and atmospheric changes due to climate change, among other reasons. The Bureau of Medical Services estimates that approximately 80 percent of U.S. diplomatic posts are in locations where fine particle pollution levels exceed the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) annual air quality standard. Impacts include:
  - Reduced visibility for and performance of satellite imagery, intelligence, and communications tools that Department officials rely on.
  - Need to retrofit buildings or re-bid designs to increase filtration and protect employees, potentially increasing costs but potentially reducing health impacts for employees.
  - Policy changes to reduce potential health impacts for employees working outdoors.
  - Reduced ability to staff posts in highly impacted regions due to health and morale concerns.
  - o Cancelling diplomatic events.
  - Closure of airports, potentially preventing or delaying personnel or supply movement.
  - Local health emergencies.

**Examples from the field:** In June 2023, with air pollution from wildfires in Canada blanketing the Northeastern and Mid-Atlantic United States for the first time, the Department issued guidance to domestic personnel typically leveraged for overseas personnel on how to reduce their exposure to extreme air pollution.



Figure 3 Image of the New York City skyline with dangerous levels of pollution as seen on June 7, 2023. [Photo by Lauren Oliveri]

In addition to the impacts to operations enumerated above, the Department acknowledges how worsening geo-political realities related to climate-security concerns could challenge our core diplomatic functions. An illustrative example of these concerns is the potential for increased migration flows as climate change impacts become more frequent and intense. Extreme weather events are already one of the top drivers of forced displacement globally.<sup>16</sup> Climate change impacts food and water security, housing and infrastructure, livelihoods, health, and safety, and exacerbates existing social, economic, and environmental vulnerabilities. It also intersects with other root causes of migration and displacement, such as conflict and insecurity, persecution and human rights abuses, poverty, and corruption. Increased migrant populations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The "White House Report on the Impact of Climate Change on Migration, 2021."

may significantly impact our visa, refugee, and foreign assistance activities. It also presents challenges for bilateral and multilateral relationships; strains U.S. and partner resources; and adds to the global population of peoples vulnerable to exploitation by terrorist organizations, organized crime groups, and other destabilizing entities.

### **2E.** Impacts from and Exposure to Additional Hazards

The Bureau of Overseas Buildings Operations assesses exposure for additional hazards to our oversees building portfolio that are not a focus elsewhere in this report, including tsunami, earthquake, landslide, volcanos, extreme wind, and water stress. A summary of exposure to our buildings from these hazards is included in the following table, supplemented by additional information contained in Appendix B. Note that water stress will be common by 2035 with the following regions most impacted: SCA (89%); NEA (87%); EAP (72%) and EUR (69%).

| Other Hazard Exposure to      | Current | RCP4.5  | RCP4.5  | RCP8.5  | RCP8.5 (2100) |
|-------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Buildings (Overseas)          |         | (2065)  | (2100)  | (2065)  | Late-Century  |
|                               |         | Mid-    | Late-   | Mid-    |               |
|                               |         | Century | Century | Century |               |
| % of agency Federal buildings | 2%      | 3%      | 3%      | 3%      | 3%            |
| located in areas exposed to   |         |         |         |         |               |
| tsunami <sup><u>17</u></sup>  |         |         |         |         |               |

#### Table 8: Impacts from and Exposure to Additional Hazards of Overseas Buildings

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 500-yr tsunami inundation depth ≥ 1 m based on Global Tsunami Model tsunami wave heights and ASADEM/GMTED2010 ground elevation within 10 km of coastline. Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100 time horizons, respectively (differing from the Federal Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Application 2050 and 2080).

| Other Hazard Exposure to              | Current | RCP4.5  | RCP4.5  | RCP8.5  | RCP8.5 (2100) |
|---------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Buildings (Overseas)                  |         | (2065)  | (2100)  | (2065)  | Late-Century  |
|                                       |         | Mid-    | Late-   | Mid-    |               |
|                                       |         | Century | Century | Century |               |
| % of agency Federal buildings         | 30%     | 33%     | 33%     | 33%     | 33%           |
| exposed to extreme wind <sup>18</sup> |         |         |         |         |               |
| % of agency Federal buildings         | 50%     | 63%     | N/A     | 63%     | N/A           |
| exposed to water stress <sup>19</sup> |         |         |         |         |               |
| % of agency Federal buildings         | 47%     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A           |
| located in areas exposed to           |         |         |         |         |               |
| earthquake <sup>20</sup>              |         |         |         |         |               |
| % of agency Federal buildings         | 11%     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A           |
| exposed to landslide <sup>21</sup>    |         |         |         |         |               |
| % of agency Federal buildings         | 9%      | N/A     | N/A     | N/A     | N/A           |
| exposed to volcanos <sup>22</sup>     |         |         |         |         |               |

<sup>20</sup> Moderate High, High, or Very High seismicity zonation based on FEMA P-154 methodology.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 1000-year wind speed  $\geq$  154 km/hr based on both hourly wind speed and cyclonic wind speed sources from NASA MERRA2 data. Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100 time horizons, respectively (differing from the Federal Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Application 2050 and 2080).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Mid-century for water stress is estimated for 2035 due to available data. Medium High, High, or Very High ratio of water demand to water supply for a hydrological subbasin based on World Resources Institute's 2019 update of the Aqueduct water risk framework.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Average annual frequency of occurrence per km2  $\ge$  0.001 for a significant landslide occurring due to rainfall or earthquake triggers based on World Bank's packaging of NASA landslide data and NOAA rainfall data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Moderate, High, or Very High threat of volcano based on modified 12-parameter USGS NVEWS methodology, proximal distance, and probabilistic ashfall exposure.
## Section 3: Implementation Plan

## **3A. Addressing Climate Hazard Impacts and Exposure**

## 3A.1. Addressing Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Buildings

Table 9: Prioritized Actions to Address Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts AffectingFederal Buildings

| Climate Hazard  | Priority Action                        | Timeline for implementation      |
|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Impact on       |  | (2024-2027)                      |
| and/or Exposure |  |                                  |
| to Buildings    |  |                                  |
| All Hazards,    | Develop a climate risk framework to    | 2024 – Use risk data to update   |
| 100% domestic   | assess building exposure (noted in     | domestic master space plans      |
| facilities      | section 2) to climate risks and        | (domestic).                      |
| impacted        | incorporate other factors (such as     | 2025 – Develop methodology for   |
|                 | building age, essential equipment age, | framework, conduct analysis.     |
|                 | building criticality, etc.) to support | 2027 – Building managers use     |
|                 | project prioritization.                | analysis to develop projects and |
|                 |  | incorporate into budget requests |
|                 |  | and GSA reviews.                 |

| Climate Hazard  | Priority Action                         | Timeline for implementation            |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Impact on       |   | (2024-2027)                            |
| and/or Exposure |   |  |
| to Buildings    |   |  |
| All hazards,    | Avoid housing acquisitions in high-risk | 2025 – Update lease review process     |
| 100% overseas   | areas; prioritize eliminating existing  | to include climate risk (overseas).    |
| facilities      | residences in high-risk areas.          | 2027 – Establish a standard process    |
| impacted        |   | and/or tools to guide collaboration    |
|                 |   | with other offices and posts in        |
|                 |   | identifying existing exposed           |
|                 |   | residences and that informs new        |
|                 |   | housing acquisitions to avoid          |
|                 |   | exposure and better manage natural     |
|                 |   | hazard risk at the onset.              |
| All Hazards,    | Continue to perform Strategic Asset     | 2024 – Share exposure data with        |
| 100% domestic   | Management Plans (SAMP) and             | SAMP contractors                       |
| facilities      | increase use of climate data to         | 2025 – 2027 – Annually complete        |
| impacted        | enhance site-level analysis.            | three SAMPs                            |
| All hazards,    | Scale CS&R program, which is vital for  | If resourced to scale, the CS&R        |
| 100% overseas   | assessing overseas natural hazard       | program anticipates fully building its |
| facilities      | risks and facilitating necessary        | capacity by no later than 2033, in     |
| impacted        | adaptation strategies.                  | accordance with its Change             |
|                 |   | Management Plan. At status quo         |
|                 |   | funding levels, the program expects    |
|                 |   | to begin re-evaluating and updating    |
|                 |   | its change management plan             |
|                 |   | starting in FY25.                      |

| Climate Hazard  | Priority Action                          | Timeline for implementation           |
|-----------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| Impact on       |  | (2024-2027)                           |
| and/or Exposure |  |                                       |
| to Buildings    |  |                                       |
| All hazards,    | Ensure that portfolio-wide natural       | On-going with updates as necessary    |
| 100% overseas   | hazard risk screening utilizes best      | and dictated by evolution of climate  |
| facilities      | available screening information.         | science models.                       |
| impacted        |  |                                       |
| Flooding and    | Obtain comprehensive flood exposure      | On-going with annual review of        |
| Tsunami,        | maps (inclusive of climate projections)  | forthcoming projects to               |
| More than 30%   | via contracting with the private sector, | appropriately schedule the            |
| overseas        | and develop detailed tsunami             | exposure studies.                     |
| facilities      | exposure maps and projections via        |                                       |
| impacted        | interagency agreements with the          |                                       |
|                 | National Oceanic and Atmospheric         |                                       |
|                 | Administration to inform projects.       |                                       |
| All Hazards,    | Ensure climate resilience is             | 2030 – Transition from legacy         |
| 100% overseas   | incorporated into OBO's capital          | Capital Planning Process to new       |
| facilities      | planning and investment strategies for   | process that considers a resilience   |
| impacted        | functional and designated residence      | indicator.                            |
|                 | properties.                              | On-going - OBO's Representational     |
|                 |  | Facility program has included an      |
|                 |  | updated resilience indicator starting |
|                 |  | in FY24.                              |
| All Hazards,    | Engage with local and host               | Continue to engage via Green          |
| overseas        | governments and civil society to         | Teams, Greening Government            |
|                 | improve host nation capacity to          | Initiative, educational exchange      |
|                 | improve climate resilience.              | programs, and other platforms.        |

By 2080, nearly all the Department's domestic facilities will face increased extreme heat and precipitation. Severe storms have had significant impact already, knocking our operations offline and damaging buildings, particularly on the east coast of the United States (GSA Regions 1, 2, 3, 4, and 11). In many cases, installing battery backup and renewable power generation systems will improve resilience. For facilities in GSA Region 4 (southeast United States), moving electric and water systems to higher elevations and enhancing drainage may reduce risks.

Through its Real Property Asset Management (RPAM) program, the Department has developed an Enterprise Location Framework (ELF) and Master Housing Plan that are living documents used to develop and implement space standards and strategies, which include the use of enterprise space, hoteling, and densification. The Department is also working to reduce its carbon footprint, increase its preparedness to address climate hazards, and improve upon the overall resiliency and sustainability of domestic facilities. One tool is Strategic Asset Management Plans (SAMPs), which are major exercises to review space utilization and tenant plans, systems, sustainability, security, and resilience to climate impacts, and include recommendations on achieving EO 14008 and 14057 targets.

The Department also utilizes procurement to advance sustainability goals. For all new construction and renovation work, DOS procures professional Architectural and Engineering (A/E) services and applies sustainable design principles at all phases of design and construction. Sustainable designs are incorporated by the A/E (at the design phase), where the standard is 30 percent below ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1—2019. Also included in the procurement of design services for new stand-alone buildings are flood assessment studies, which can be expanded upon to adopt one of the three approaches outlined in the Federal Flood Risk Management Standard (FFRMS) for domestic properties. Subject to the availability of resources and in support of guidance and best practices set forth in <u>OMB M-24-03</u>, Advancing Climate Resilience through Climate-Smart Infrastructure Investments and Implementation Guidance for the Disaster Resiliency Planning Act, DOS will review all Design and Construction Standards and guidelines in 2025 to incorporate assessments of climate risk, FFRMS, and other necessary climate-related elements.

Overseas, the Department's focus is to further build the capacity and capabilities of its CS&R program and continue to leverage this data to change its real property management policies and processes. The Department prioritizes overseas capital investments based on a new Capital Planning Process (CPP), which annually reviews the Department's functional overseas real property (office, warehouses, and medical facilities) against natural hazards and other nonnatural hazard related indicators. The Representational Facilities (RepFac) program (including Chief of Mission Residences and other designated representational residences) is aligned with the CPP methodology in analyzing assets across multiple indicators, including the same natural hazards. As part of the CPP and RepFac processes, Business Case Evaluations (BCEs) identify options that include determining if functions should be relocated to lower risk properties. Every functional property is assessed, scored, and prioritized through OBO senior leadership to select potential capital investments for further project development. The Department concluded its first annual CPP cycle in FY23 and expects to fully transition to the new methodology in FY30. For new construction and acquisitions, the acquisitions teams work with the CS&R program and other engineers to assess current and future exposure to understand possible adaptation strategies before assets are acquired. As a part of regular due diligence, the Department has divested higher risk properties.

In response to Executive Orders 13690 and 14030, the Department's overseas design and construction codes include requirements for flood risk management for all major projects. Civil engineers must review major projects for embassy and consulate locations to determine susceptibility to inundation by floods in accordance with the approach outlined in the FFRMS. Due to the reduced availability of verifiable floodplain mapping in many overseas locations, OBO's code includes more stringent freeboard requirements than the FFRMS. OBO code supplements also require protection and mitigation measures for buildings and infrastructure currently located in flood-prone areas.

## 3A.2. Addressing Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts Affecting Federal Employees

Table 10: Prioritized Actions to Address Climate Hazard Exposures and Impacts AffectingFederal Employees

| Climate Hazard Impact on     | Priority Actions                         | Timeline for            |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|
| and/or Exposure to           |  | implementation (2024-   |
| Employees                    |  | 2027)                   |
| Climate and natural hazards  | Existing telework and leave policies     | Continued               |
| will increase needs for      | address severe weather events            | implementation under    |
| Weather & Safety Leave       | (including air pollution, severe storms, | current authorities,    |
| (WSL) and greater use of     | and other severe weather) and            | additional              |
| existing flexible leave and  | provide for unscheduled telework,        | notices/reminders on    |
| telework polices for weather | Weather & Safety Leave for non-          | policy when applicable. |
| events (unscheduled leave    | telework eligible employees, or          |                         |
| and telework). (Domestic     | unscheduled leave. State will continue   |                         |
| and overseas)                | to regularly inform the workforce.       |                         |
| Climate and natural hazards  | Provide consistent, up-to-date, and      | Annually - Host         |
| may physically harm          | accurate guidance to personnel for       | webinars, provide       |
| personnel or their property. | various extreme weather                  | Department notices on   |
| (Domestic and overseas)      | circumstances (e.g., hurricanes,         | resources, train        |
|                              | blizzards, etc.) to help personnel       | Designated              |
|                              | prepare.                                 | Bureau/Office           |
|                              |  | Emergency Coordinators  |
|                              |  | on resources and        |
|                              |  | guidance.               |
|                              |  |                         |

| Climate Hazard Impact on     | Priority Actions                         | Timeline for             |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| and/or Exposure to           |  | implementation (2024-    |
| Employees                    |  | 2027)                    |
| Climate and natural hazards  | Templates are currently being            | 2024-2025 – Finalize     |
| can disrupt operations,      | developed by OBO and the Bureau of       | exemplars and            |
| personnel health and safety, | Diplomatic Security's Emergency          | disseminate to posts.    |
| and ability to transport and | Planning Unit (DS/HTP/SP/EP) for         |                          |
| communicate. (Overseas)      | posts to use when developing their       | 2025 – Regional          |
|                              | own post-specific response plans.        | Bureaus encourage        |
|                              | Posts at-risk for natural disasters will | posts at risk of natural |
|                              | be encouraged to update their            | hazards to utilize       |
|                              | Emergency Action Plans to include        | exemplars to develop     |
|                              | applicable natural hazard response       | post-specific response   |
|                              | plan(s).                                 | plans and integrate      |
|                              |  | them in their            |
|                              |  | Emergency Action Plans   |
|                              |  | for relevant posts.      |
|                              |  |                          |
|                              |  | 2027 – Repeat            |
|                              |  | Emergency Action Plan    |
|                              |  | review to determine      |
|                              |  | how many Posts           |
|                              |  | incorporated the         |
|                              |  | suggested exemplars.     |

| Climate Hazard Impact on             | Priority Actions                      | Timeline for               |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| and/or Exposure to                   |                                       | implementation (2024-      |
| Employees                            |                                       | 2027)                      |
| Climate and natural hazards          | Enhance centralized tracking of and   | 2024 – Scope data          |
| can strain already limited           | enable better planning for potential  | needs and methodology      |
| central emergency support.           | climate and natural hazards to        | and integration of initial |
| (Overseas)                           | personnel and operations by including | climate risk data into     |
|                                      | risk information in the Operations    | ORION                      |
|                                      | Response Interagency Online Network   |                            |
|                                      | (ORION).                              |                            |
| Air Pollution <sup>23</sup> , 80% of | Leverage Air Pollution Working Group  | Annually – publish         |
| overseas posts have annual           | and Air Quality Monitoring Program    | Department-wide            |
| air pollution levels at or           | to provide resources and guidance to  | communications on          |
| above that of the highest            | personnel to mitigate exposure to air | preparing for peak         |
| U.S. city, which reduces             | pollution. Incorporate NASA AI        | pollution season.          |
| morale and can cause                 | forecast into ZephAir app to inform   |                            |
| negative health impacts.             | personnel and public of risks.        | 2024 – publish Al          |
| (Overseas)                           |                                       | forecast in ZephAir.       |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Air pollution includes smoke from wildfires, along with vehicle exhaust, crop burning, and other sources. The Department's app does not differentiate based on source, it just publishes the pollution information. As air pollution is exacerbated by climate change, we are including this as it is a short and long-term educational tool.

| Climate Hazard Impact on    | Priority Actions                       | Timeline for              |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| and/or Exposure to          |  | implementation (2024-     |
| Employees                   |  | 2027)                     |
| Climate and natural hazards | The limited number of flight routes to | 2025 - EAP is in active   |
| that limit transportation   | some of EAP's most isolated posts      | discussions to propose a  |
| options and support to      | (small island states) are the most     | ring route to service     |
| remote Pacific islands.     | concerning in the event of a crisis or | these isolated posts on   |
| (Overseas)                  | natural disaster                       | a more frequent           |
|                             |  | schedule to mitigate risk |
|                             |  | to personnel in these     |
|                             |  | locations.                |

To reduce risks to personnel, in addition to the items in the table, the Department will continue to leverage two existing efforts: improving workforce mobility and emergency preparedness.

#### Workforce Mobility

Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, the Department's ability to meet its mission was highly dependent on personnel being able to report to an office. Since 2020, the Department has significantly advanced mobility, a core element of the Secretary's Modernization Agenda, and is transitioning to a mission-first hybrid work environment that is resilient, agile, secure, and inclusive. This change is critical as climate hazards are already impacting our employees and customers' ability to get to our facilities. We have updated telework policies, modernized space management, and issued nearly 24,000 laptops and over 500 mobile devices through the Tech for Life pilot.

#### **Emergency Preparedness**

Domestically, A Bureau's Office of Emergency Management (A/OEM) incorporates climate considerations into emergency preparedness and readiness efforts, including enhancing individual facilities' occupant emergency plans (OEP). A/OEM endeavors to deliver a robust

emergency readiness program that includes increasing the preparedness of Department personnel through awareness and education campaigns. Examples include webinars on severe weather, emergency planning guides and resources for leadership at the bureau/office levels, outreach to Designated Officials/Occupant Emergency Coordinators within the facilities, and supporting the execution of emergency preparedness exercises at the facility level.

Overseas, the Department is focused on mitigating risk through enhancing individual posts' Emergency Action Plans through the incorporation of natural hazard-focused custom annex exemplars, templates that posts can use to plan customized preparation for and responses to acute-onset hazards. The exemplars will address hazards to include flood, landslide, tropical cyclone, wildfires, tsunami, volcanic eruptions, and earthquake. The exemplars in development will provide posts with response plan hazard-specific examples for at-risk posts to use when developing their own post-specific response plans. These exemplars are expected to be finalized and released to the field in 2024. Additionally, through the Foreign Service Institute (FSI), posts are regularly trained on responding to crises.

#### **Other Efforts**

Overseas and domestically, staff that work outdoors, such as security and maintenance staff and gardeners, are at greatest risk of heat stress and pollution. The Department provides health and safety guidance and tools including personal protective equipment (e.g., respirators and ice vests) and increasing the frequency of rest breaks in cool areas. Additionally, we established a working group to help identify and manage health risks to staff from air pollution. The Department's air quality monitoring program, available in 80 overseas locations as of 2024, shares real-time data via the ZephAir app and will continue to expand in overseas locations where needed. Though the air pollution strategies employed are not primarily intended to address wildfire smoke, they do have the secondary benefit of capturing exposure to wildfire smoke.

The Department's Crisis Management Strategy (CMS) Office developed a common operating platform called the Operations Response Interagency Online Network (ORION) that accumulates

threat reporting, security, and hazard data from Department, open source, and interagency resources for display and analysis. ORION tracks space weather, global health, hurricane and typhoon warnings, and natural hazard occurrence data to inform Department leadership decision-making. The Office of Management Strategy Solutions (M/SS), CMS, OBO, OES, Enterprise Governance Board working group members, and other bureaus will work together to assess how to incorporate other risks.

Additionally, it is vital for the Department and other interagency partners to work with local and host governments to improve local and country-wide adaptation and resilience efforts to secure our foreign affairs mission. The Department leads the interagency in the implementation of the President's Emergency Plan for Adaptation and Resilience (PREPARE), an overarching framework for U.S. Government international adaptation and resilience support to developing countries. Reducing the long-term risks and building resilience of these countries will also benefit our overseas personnel, buildings, and supply chains.

# 3A.3. Addressing Climate Hazard Impacts on and Exposure to Federal Lands, Waters and Cultural Resources

Culturally or historically significant assets under the agency's care may be negatively impacted by climate and natural hazards (see section 2C for potential impacts). The Department is leveraging its IoT to pilot ways to protect assets. For example, sensors remotely collect and transfer data to a centralized tracking and notification system when parameters are outside of pre-defined ranges or conditions. Sensors being tested include temperature, humidity, and water sensors to monitor artwork, in addition to other areas and assets including server rooms, and diesel and water tank levels.

The Department also supports the protection and preservation of other nations' cultural resources. The U.S. Ambassadors Fund for Cultural Preservation has funded multiple projects mitigating the effects of climate change on cultural heritage around the world. Recent examples include restoring ancient and historic *hitis*, or traditional water fountains, to support

communities in Nepal's Kathmandu Valley impacted by mounting water insecurity, conducting a landscape restoration and shoreline study on Providence Island, considered the birthplace of modern Liberia, and supporting an initiative between the National Trust of Trinidad and Tobago and the University of Florida's Historic Preservation Program to advance the resilience and preservation of the island nation's heritage sites from rising seas.

#### Advancing the America the Beautiful Initiative

The Department takes care to responsibly manage and conserve land and water and encourage the growth of native and pollinator plants domestically and abroad. Overseas, we have a threepronged strategy to advance land, water, and natural habitat conservation, native planting, and pollinator support at the Department's properties. Our Tree Canopy Initiative at 28 diplomatic posts is increasing tree cover to reduce heat stress and two dozen posts overseas are National Wildlife Habitat certified. OBO and A Bureau partnered to develop specific trainings on environmental stewardship for facilities managers and locally employed staff and support site performance monitoring efforts. The training series offers interactive workshops and connects regional networks of facility managers for the adoption of best practices in water conservation, planting care, and resilient land management.

Domestically, the National Foreign Affairs Training Center (NFATC) in Arlington, Virginia, has regenerative stormwater conveyances and uses ecologically based landscape maintenance strategies. The grounds are also National Wildlife Habitat certified and several structures employ "green roofs," which helps insulate the buildings more effectively from extreme temperatures. At another facility in Virginia, the Department has dedicated one acre of land to developing a pollinator-friendly habitat and is working to control invasive non-native species. State is also engaging with the landholder to conduct a 200-acre prescribed burn in 2024 to reduce hazardous fuel loading and wildfire risk to the facility, as well as control invasive vegetation.

Many of the Department's policy and educational programs support land and water conservation. OES manages a portfolio of conservation-related foreign assistance programming,

including combating nature crimes, such as illegal logging and associated trade, which contribute significantly to global deforestation and carbon emissions, leading to land, soil, and ecosystem degradation and increased disaster risk. Intact forests serve as climate change buffers, mitigating impacts like temperature variability and unpredictable rainfall, while also delivering crucial ecosystem services such as water regulation, and soil stabilization.

In water conservation policy, OES manages a portfolio of assistance programs that leverage both U.S. government interagency and domestic U.S. expertise to provide technical assistance and build lasting relationships in support of improving management of water resources abroad. Programming is further supported through the OES-led Interagency Water Working Group (IWWG), which serves as the central platform for coordinating activities. For example, the Ambassador Water Experts Program (AWEP), implemented by the Department of the Interior (DOI), recruits U.S. experts to provide ad-hoc technical assistance to participants ranging from senior officials to local water associations.

Other Department programs that enhance global land and water conservation efforts include the International Visitor Leadership Program (IVLP), the Fulbright Student and Scholars Program, the Hubert H. Humphrey Program, and the Office of American Spaces. One example is the IVLP annual special initiative entitled "The Climate Crisis: Working Together for Future Generations" that examines priority areas for international cooperation and practical actions to raise awareness of climate change challenges and promote public engagement in environmental conservation. Other examples include IVLPs on drought preparedness and resilience, disaster preparedness and emergency management.

### **3B. Climate-Resilient Operations**

## 3B.1. Accounting for Climate Risk in Planning and Decision Making

At the Department level, climate adaptation is a focus of Objective 1.2 of the 2022-2026 State-USAID Joint Strategic Plan (JSP), to which bureaus and missions align their annual resource requests and link their own strategic objectives. Climate risk assessment, adaptation, and mitigation also supports JSP objectives 2.4, "Strengthen U.S. and global resilience to economic, technological, environmental, and other systemic shocks;" and 4.3 "Protect our personnel, information, and physical infrastructure from 21st Century threats." Many bureaus – including OBO, WHA, and the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM) – address climate in their bureau strategic goals and/or objectives based on guidance from the JSP and White House.

In support of the JSP, State and USAID regularly establish two-year, outcome-oriented Agency Priority Goals (APGs). One of the Department's six FYs 2024-2025 APGs is Climate Change, a focus of which is leveraging U.S. leadership to engage with countries to implement mitigation and/or adaptation objectives and appropriate interagency coordination. The Department tracks progress on APGs via quarterly reporting to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

In 2022, the Department released a new risk policy and is updating its global presence strategy; climate is included in each. In 2024, the Department will release a Risk Appetite Statement, to provide leaders with an understanding of the Department's risk appetite and to encourage holistic risk management across the spectrum of risks – to include climate risks – and mitigation.

M/SS, OBO (in accordance with the CS&R program change management plan) and A Bureau will also share their climate risk assessments across the Department. M/SS will consult with bureaus to support integration of risk as appropriate. In support of the Department-wide JSP goals, most incorporation of risk assessment into planning and decision-making is done at the bureau level. Examples include:

- **Regional:** EAP created a Climate and Clean Energy Engagement Strategy that sets guidelines for incorporating climate risks and associated actions into decision-making processes and/or personnel. In 2023, EAP initiated annual discussions with all EAP Missions to discuss climate risks and opportunities and encourage front office-led/involved climate-risk assessments in planning and decision making. WHA will add a climate risk section showing vulnerabilities and risk mitigation plans to Functional Bureau Strategy and Bureau Resource Request.
- Policy Engagement: In 2023, the Department developed Climate Reference sheets, a set of standardized materials for all countries with core insights into country climate policy data, to support high demand for fast and quality climate data to prepare leadership, negotiations, and planning. These documents include climate risk information, including links to country climate risk profiles from the United States Agency for International (USAID) and the World Bank, USAID key impacts and projections, visualization of selected vulnerability indicators (water, food, extreme weather), and summaries of National Adaptation Plans.
- Facilities: OBO incorporates risk assessments into planning decisions related to location setting, portfolio management, design standards, and projects.
- **Bidding:** The Department's Bureau of Medical Services (MED) and M/SS make air pollution data available to personnel during the bidding process so employees can make informed decisions and plan for realities on the ground. The Department will evaluate adding relevant

climate hazards into this information process to support informed decisions around health

risks.



Figure 4 The Department's ZephAir mobile application and dashboard provide real-time air quality information for 80 locations and forecasting for more than 260 locations globally.

**Critical Systems Resilience:** Both the Bureau of Global Talent Management (GTM) and Bureau of the Comptroller and Global Financial Services (CGFS) have developed plans to mitigate potential severe storm and/or other climate hazard impacts on personnel and financial data and systems that are primarily housed in areas prone to severe seasonal storms. Risk management plans for these data and systems include backup storage sites in areas that are less prone to climate-related risks at certain points of the year.

# **3B.2.** Incorporating Climate Risk Assessment into Budget Planning –

#### Narrative:

Given the prominence of climate change in the Department's JSP, climate adaptation and risk – particularly implementing and funding efforts to reduce climate risk in other countries-- is a key element of high-level budget and planning decisions. As discussed above, the Department's

budget and planning decisions related to risk take place in two related contexts: our externalfacing policy and programmatic work and our internal-facing management and operations.

The Bureau of Budget and Planning (BP) directs bureaus and Missions to explicitly include climate and sustainability budget needs in their annual resource requests. Prior to 2021, management and policy budget needs were considered separately, and the focus tended to be on policy-related programming, in keeping with the Department's policy mandate. Bureaus were then encouraged to include climate specific requests through the regular Mission Resource Request (MRR) and Bureau Resource Request (BRR). Once submitted, specific discussions on climate equities take place with BP and appropriate bureaus. To respond to the President's instructions, BP, M/SS, and OES have increased collaboration to create a more crossfunctional approach that considers budget needs for both policy and management needs. As one example, M/SS and OES have collaborated through the annual Resourcing Strategy Review (RSR) process to identify and elevate both policy and management budget needs to senior leadership. Climate was selected as a thematic area for 2022 and 2023.

On the policy side, climate adaptation is one of the three pillars of our climate assistance funding (the others are Clean Energy and Sustainable Landscapes) and therefore a significant focus of policy and foreign assistance resource requests and budget formulation. Our foreign assistance budget requests include targeted requests for climate adaptation programming across a wide range of operating units. In addition, many operating units also implement programming that has a secondary climate benefit (known as indirect funding). The Department's foreign assistance objectives and funding levels support PREPARE. Moving forward, while there is not currently guidance at an agency level, multiple bureaus have committed to incorporate climate risk in their bureau strategies and resource requests, and identify ways to mitigate risks for executing grants.

Moving forward, the Department will evaluate developing an agency standard and guidance for how bureaus and Missions should assess, include, or prioritize climate risks in the budget and planning process, as well as how the risks should be included in routine cost benefit analysis. In addition, the Department will release guidance to bureaus on how to conduct or incorporate climate risk into high-level budget, planning, and decision-making processes. The Department will evaluate ways to incorporate climate risk into financial cost benefit analyses, particularly on the management side, where resilience efforts can cost more upfront but less over time.

One limitation is that while the Department is working to build climate literacy in its workforce (see section 3C), technical capabilities to assess and address climate risk to programs is limited.

OBO's Climate Security & Resilience program was prioritized in the FY2024 Budget request for increased funding, but does not currently have adequate staff to complete all planned program efforts in support of State's climate resilience priorities. A Bureau does not currently have dedicated staff and technical capabilities to address climate risk outside of the contracts for the SAMPs.

#### **3B.3. Incorporating Climate Risk into Policy and Programs**

Since 2021, the Department has managed a cross-functional Climate Resilience Working Group to coordinate and discuss adaptation efforts. This is overseen by the Deputy Chief Sustainability Officer. The Department's Enterprise Governance Board is a platform to discuss enterprise risks, and will review enterprise-wide climate risk assessment and mitigation strategies as necessary. Completed policy updates include overseas emergency planning policies used by overseas posts to develop post-specific emergency action plans and OBO policies on design and engineering to incorporate climate hazards.

In 2024, all bureaus will be directed to review the policies they own in the Foreign Affairs Manual (FAM) and the Foreign Affairs Handbook to identify if any updates can or should be made to incorporate climate risk, adaptation, and/or resilience. As an example, the Bureau of Information Resource Management (IRM) is reviewing several programs and processes, including the IRM-led Enterprise Cyber Risk Governance program, its Disaster Recovery and Contingency Planning processes, and its Risk Assessment methodology, for opportunities to better utilize and incorporate climate-risk information and mitigate risk.

Policies to be reviewed:

- Building Design and Maintenance Policies (for domestic); Procurement Policies; IT Policies; Medical Policies; Functional and Regional Bureau Guidance for Foreign Assistance; Emergency procedures.
- Specific ones to be reviewed include: IT (5 FAM 300, 5 FAM 900, 5 FAM 150, 5 FAM 860, 5 FAM 1680); foreign assistance (18 FAM 301.4); and medical (15 FAM 140, 16 FAM 600, 16 FAM 740, 16 FAM 800).

Nature based solutions: The agency includes nature-based solutions as part of its design and engineering processes, including requiring native plants where possible and evaluating solutions such as onsite wetlands for wastewater treatment. Where possible, the Department uses lowimpact alternatives for stormwater collection and management. The Department largely relies on the Guiding Principles for Sustainable Federal Buildings for our internal design requirements, but externally, we use the LEED certification process to ensure that nature-based solutions are accounted for.

On the policy side, the 2022 U.S. Global Water Strategy's third strategic objective, "improving climate-resilient conservation and management of freshwater resources and associated ecosystems," emphasizes nature-based solutions to mitigate climate adaptation risks including flood and drought prevention and maximizes benefits for groundwater recharge and water storage, reduction of pollutants, and the potential for carbon sequestration.

**Environmental Justice:** The Department continually reviews siting and operational decisions to avoid negative downstream impacts to our neighbors. The Department will evaluate how to further incorporate environmental justice into agency-wide planning and processes.

The agency Environmental Justice official sits in OES, a co-drafter of this plan.

Through its Climate Adaptation Plan, the Department is also able to advance environmental justice as part of its mission, consistent with Executive Order 14008 and with EO 14096 on *Revitalizing Our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All*. As the Department implements its Climate Adaptation Plan to increase the resilience of its facilities and operations, the agency shall, as appropriate and consistent with applicable law: address disproportionate

and adverse human health and environmental effects (including risks) and hazards of Federal activities, including those related to climate change and cumulative impacts of environmental and other burdens on communities with environmental justice concerns; and provide opportunities for the meaningful engagement of persons and communities with environmental justice concerns who are potentially affected by Federal activities.

In addition, as a member of the White House Environmental Justice Interagency Council, the Department received <u>recommendations</u> on Climate Planning, Preparedness, Response, Recovery and Impacts from the White House Environmental Justice Advisory Council (WHEJAC). The Department is reviewing the recommendations and, as appropriate and to the maximum extent permitted by law, will take steps to address the WHEJAC's recommendations.

The Department's adaptation international policy efforts, in support of PREPARE, focus on addressing long-standing gaps in adaptation that disproportionately affect women, youth, Indigenous Peoples, and low income and marginalized groups that have historically been excluded from adaptation planning and action, yet often face the greatest risks. Additionally, OES and the Secretary's Office of Global Women's Issues (S/GWI) have developed agency resources to integrate gender and youth considerations in public outreach, programming, and policy as guided by the 2023 U.S. Strategy to Respond to the Effects of Climate Change on Women. For example, the Women in Water Diplomacy initiative empowers women to meaningfully participate at all levels in the development of water and climate adaptation related policy and diplomacy. The NexGen program engages the next generation of young leaders to lead on water and climate adaptation solutions. S/GWI's Innovation Station initiative amplifies the voices and best practices of women and girls developing climate adaptation solutions.

**Tribal nations:** The Department has engaged with tribal nations as part of its equity efforts in procurement, and also engages with tribal nations as part of climate policy efforts.

**Policy:** U.S. Government principals meet with Tribal Nations and international Indigenous peoples representatives in round-table style discussions at Conferences of the Parties (COPs) to

discuss climate change related issues with them directly. These meetings have taken place for the past three years, and between the meetings, there have been follow-up efforts toward this vision through collaborative inter-agency work across the Executive Branch, regular and meaningful Tribal-Federal engagement, and by fostering an all-of-government approach in meeting treaty and trust obligations to Tribes.

**Cultural Affairs:** Through its entire range of in-bound exchange programs, the Bureau of Educational and Cultural Affairs (ECA) engages with representatives of the Tribal Nations on issues of high importance to their communities, including environmental protection and climate change. ECA has prioritized academic exchange program recruitment from – and hosting by – Tribal Colleges to allow Americans from these colleges to study or teach abroad and international exchange participants to be hosted at the colleges.

**Co-benefits of Adaptation (Buildings):** The Department does not have formal policies for climate mitigation for buildings and operations. Domestically, the A Bureau is focused on deferred maintenance and lifecycle building maintenance. Overseas, actions that support both mitigation and adaptation, such as installing onsite solar arrays, are mostly done for financial reasons and/or to ensure continuity of operations in outages of electrical grids.

**Foreign assistance, diplomatic engagement:** An example of co-benefits in diplomatic engagement is the Energy and Mineral Governance Program, which builds foreign government technical capacity to oversee power sectors to support the transition to an equitable, clean, and resilient energy future. Power sector support can reduce emissions while improving adaptative capability. Though the Department carefully reviews non-"climate" programs for climate adaptation and mitigation co-benefits, there is no structured process for reviewing mitigation projects to incorporate adaptation principles or vice versa, beyond the standard operating procedures for all Department programming.

#### **3B.4. Climate-Smart Supply Chains and Procurement**

In 2022, the Department's Office of the Procurement Executive led an initial analysis of major supply chains, which includes local supplies (food, water, fuel), IT equipment, construction supplies, and medical supplies, using GSA's Framework for Managing Climate Risks to Federal Agency Supply Chains. The framework uses transactional volume, global operational focus, global manufacturing footprint, and disruption factor to assess vulnerabilities. Based on this analysis, heat stress, drought, and flooding were identified as the most potentially impactful climate hazards to the Department's supply chains, particularly in IT and construction.

By February 2025, the Department will identify mission-critical and mission-dependent supplies and services procured through GSA and provide a list to GSA to formally partner to address climate-related vulnerabilities to the Department's supply chains. The Department will address its vulnerabilities to climate change, as well as extreme weather incidents, at the order level and GSA will determine if opportunities exist to address vulnerabilities in contract vehicles.

In response to Executive Orders 13990, 14008 and 14057, A Bureau Office of the Procurement Executive is monitoring two Federal Acquisition Regulations under review that are relevant to climate change: 2021-015 and 2021-016. A/OPE plans to issue guidance and best practices that promote sustainable procurement strategies through acquisition planning, source selection, climate supply chain risk management and contract administration, and as further guidance is issued through the aforementioned pending FAR cases. For example, A/OPE is planning to issue new policies in FY24 that promote (1) minimizing the use of single-use plastics in support of food service operations at diplomatic facilities; and (2) sustainability in all overseas procurements.

The Department has also updated its Acquisition Plan templates to promote environmental considerations per FAR 23.103, which apply to all procurements over the micro-purchase threshold. As a result, climate hazard risk is addressed for all procurements over \$10,000 at the Department. Furthermore, the Acquisition Plan template for purchases over \$5 million explicitly requires Contracting Officers to address the applicability of an environmental

assessment or environmental impact statement, and the proposed resolution of environmental issues, all of which are relevant to climate hazard risks to critical supplies and services. Individual bureaus are employing various strategies to mitigate supply chain issues, which may or may not be caused by climate hazards. OBO partners with contractors in assessing risks to construction materials that will impact our construction schedules. Construction equipment and materials that cannot be procured locally, such as major electrical and mechanical building equipment, may be susceptible to market driven supply chain risks. OBO does consider and employ mitigation strategies, such as including longer lead times.

In addition, the Department is also assessing and developing strategies to the below supply chains and services:

| At risk supplies/services | Outline Actions to Address            | Identify Progress Towards      |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                           | Hazard(s)                             | Addressing Hazard(s)           |
| Climate hazards may       | Identify major transportation         | The Department has updated     |
| impact the Department's   | contractors and review alternative    | its Acquisition Plan templates |
| ability to ship critical  | plans for shipping in high            | to promote environmental       |
| supplies to locations,    | traffic/high risk areas (e.g. Ft.     | considerations per FAR         |
| depending on the          | Lauderdale, East Asia and the         | 23.103, which apply to all     |
| method of                 | Pacific, etc.); formally partner with | procurements over the micro-   |
| transportation.           | GSA by providing a list of the        | purchase threshold.            |
|                           | mission-critical products and         |                                |
|                           | services and address their            |                                |
|                           | vulnerabilities to climate change, as |                                |
|                           | well as extreme weather incidents,    |                                |
|                           | at the order level and GSA will       |                                |
|                           | determine if opportunities exist to   |                                |
|                           | address vulnerabilities in contract   |                                |
|                           | vehicles.                             |                                |
| Construction materials    | Increase materials procured locally   | All new construction is        |
| may be delayed in their   | when possible; if not available,      | required to meet U.S. Green    |
| production or transport   | identify risk mitigation plans in     | Buildings Council LEED         |
| to overseas locations due | contracts and build in longer lead    | standards, which encourages    |
| to climate hazards.       | times for materials to account for    | the use of local supplies and  |
|                           | potential delays.                     | energy and water efficiency.   |

#### Table 11: Department Assessment and Strategies of Supply Chains and Services

| At risk supplies/services  | Outline Actions to Address         | Identify Progress Towards       |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                            | Hazard(s)                          | Addressing Hazard(s)            |
| Consular supplies, such as | Develop foil-less/digital visas to | Consular Affairs is developing  |
| visa foils, passport book  | eliminate supply chain issues;     | foil-less/digital visas (timing |
| stock, and passport card   | centrally track and provide strict | TBD); expanding digital         |
| stock shipments may be     | guidance on maintaining stock of   | services for American citizens  |
| delayed due to             | passport books and card stock;     | and international travelers     |
| transportation or          | include mitigation processes in    | when and where possible;        |
| production issues caused   | contracts.                         | contracts currently include     |
| by severe weather.         |                                    | predetermined monthly           |
|                            |                                    | orders to maintain reserve      |
|                            |                                    | and stock.                      |
| Unstable or insecure       | For both domestic and overseas     | 57 posts overseas have onsite   |
| electrical grids           | operations, local energy resources | solar arrays and four have      |
|                            | and infrastructure can be          | onsite batteries. The           |
|                            | significantly impacted by climate  | Department is receiving bids    |
|                            | and natural hazards. The           | for an onsite solar array at a  |
|                            | Department is making investments   | critical data center in         |
|                            | in renewable energy and battery    | Maryland and is planning to     |
|                            | systems for embassies, consulates, | release another notice of       |
|                            | and residences, particularly in    | opportunity for FSI.            |
|                            | locations where the local energy   |                                 |
|                            | grid is under stress, either from  |                                 |
|                            | climate hazards or other reasons.  |                                 |

| At risk supplies/services | Outline Actions to Address           | Identify Progress Towards     |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                           | Hazard(s)                            | Addressing Hazard(s)          |
| Local Fuel for Vehicles   | Several overseas diplomatic posts    | The Department analyzed       |
|                           | are installing electric vehicle      | overseas posts and found that |
|                           | charging infrastructure and          | 50% are either ready or close |
|                           | procuring unarmored electric         | to being ready to electrify   |
|                           | vehicles to mitigate dependence on   | their fleets, based on        |
|                           | local fuel and potential disruptions | electrical grid reliability,  |
|                           | due to climate or natural hazards.   | availability of maintenance   |
|                           |                                      | support, and more factors.    |
|                           |                                      | The Department released       |
|                           |                                      | policy and SOPs to support    |
|                           |                                      | posts in procuring EVs.       |

#### **3B.5.** Climate Informed Funding to External Parties

The Department has made significant strides in supporting Administration goals to scale up U.S. government-wide international public adaptation finance, including through interagency agreements, grants, and voluntary contributions that are attributable to the overall climate directive for foreign assistance funds. With USAID, the Department co-leads the implementation of PREPARE, which seeks to help more than half a billion people in developing countries adapt to and manage the impacts of climate change by 2030. Twenty federal agencies now work together to implement the PREPARE Action Plan. PREPARE includes three pillars: (1) strengthen climate information services and early warning systems to equip people and institutions with the information they need to make sound decisions and take effective actions; (2) mainstream adaptation into policies, programs, and budgets focusing on the impacts of climate change on food security, water, health, and infrastructure; and (3) unlock finance to support national, subnational, and local climate adaptation action. The structure of PREPARE is aligned with the UAE

Framework for Global Climate Resilience, adopted at the UN Climate Change Conference in 2023 to guide achievement of the Paris Agreement's global goal on adaptation.

Bureaus have also increasingly reviewed grant and foreign assistance announcements and requirements to ensure relevant grants and foreign assistance (FA) include climate risk and/or adaptation considerations. This helps ensure program goals are achieved in the face of climate change impacts, realize adaptation co-benefits of existing programs, and address the impacts of climate change Bureaus are seeing on their missions. For example, the Bureau of Political-Military Affairs works with select national authorities to pilot how to integrate climate and environmental considerations into national demining strategies to develop sector-wide guidance. Populations living in areas contaminated with landmines and unexploded ordnance are already among the poorest, most marginalized, and vulnerable communities in the world. Further, the Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration (PRM) is currently supporting a UNHCR fund that works with refugees and local communities to improve climate adaptation and resilience and to foster ideas and communication. PRM is also in the process of designing grants to work with local communities to prioritize innovative financing options for climate change and human mobility programs.

Regional bureaus have dedicated significant effort to climate-informed funding opportunities and Bureau Strategic Plans. Bureaus have begun iteratively evaluating foreign assistance requests, levels, and programs to ensure consideration of partners' desire to enhance resilience to climate impacts, transition to clean energy, and develop sustainable landscapes within program design. Moreover, multiple bureaus are considering modifying the project risk assessment templates to include risks to projects posed by extreme climate events (storms, flooding, heat, etc.) and include necessary risk mitigation measures. Given the high vulnerability of many nations to climate change and that many communities are severely underresourced, these considerations will increasingly be built into the design of many of Department and USAID programs.

Additionally, the Department aims to build on progress to develop workforce-wide training and adaptation-specific initiatives, including working with disadvantaged communities and engaging

with community-based organizations best suited to provide locally informed solutions. This helps to ensure that programming for vulnerable communities and people, including by prioritizing local solutions, is central to the Department's foreign assistance programs. Regional Climate Officers, a new role in many Bureaus, work with country desks and embassies to increase focus and funding for climate adaptation and resilience in country/local communities. Working together with Environment, Science, Technology, and Health (ESTH) officers, Regional Climate Officers have helped expand the capacity for the Department to reach disadvantaged communities for climate adaptation and/or resilience. For example, the International Boundary and Water Commission promotes climate adaptation and resilience and is also included in the Justice40 Initiative, which was established by EO 14008, and sets a goal that 40 percent of the overall benefits of certain Federal climate and other investments flow to disadvantaged communities that are marginalized by underinvestment and overburdened by pollution.

# **3C. Climate Training and Capacity Building for a Climate Informed Workforce**

The Administration has directed that climate be an essential element of United States foreign policy and national security. In response, the Department has to dual-track our climate efforts on human capital and training for both internal (operations and management) and external (diplomacy and policy).

### **Training:**

The Department has three broad categories of training and development needs.

- 1. General climate and sustainability literacy training on a variety of topics, including adaptation, for all staff.
- Targeted training for personnel with direct responsibility or opportunity to limit the Department's carbon footprint and/or realize our internal adaptation and resilience efforts.

3. Targeted training for our climate policy action officers, who integrate U.S. climate goals into the conduct of foreign policy.

The Department has made significant strides in climate and sustainability related training and other workforce development initiatives in keeping with our 2021 Climate Adaptation Plan goals. The Department's training organization, the Foreign Service Institute (FSI) is in the process of standing up a climate and sustainability program within the School of Professional and Area Studies using new, recurring funding. Other progress includes:

- Co-chair a Climate Literacy and Workforce Working Group with M/SS since 2021 to drive progress, and maintained an online resource hub of information for management professionals on sustainable operations;
- Completed training needs assessments focused on mid-level climate policy action officers (FO-03 to 01 and GS 13-15) in 2022;
- Created two 40-hour proficiency-level courses that are open to the full workforce, including locally employed staff and interagency personnel, but focused on climate policy practitioners. These courses are designed to equip foreign affairs professionals with both literacy and proficiency in climate science and climate and sustainability policy in order to achieve mission success and advance U.S. climate goals:
  - Climate Tradecraft, focused on tools and actions that can be undertaken on mitigation, adaptation, finance and communications; and
  - Climate Diplomacy, focused on the basics of climate science; the drivers of ecological destruction; climate negotiations; and climate communications.
- Created six advanced 2-day workshops for policy practitioners to begin in 2024: Climate Ambition, Climate Communications, Climate Finance, Climate Resilience, Climate Security, and Climate Tech. These new workshops augment previously existing climaterelated modules in the ESTH Tradecraft course and other specialized courses, such as several energy policy courses, emerging technology, commercial tradecraft and Biotechnology and Global Challenges, which stresses the importance of agricultural

innovation to respond to the climate crisis and enable farmers and ranchers to help mitigate greenhouse gas emissions;

- Delivered a workshop on U.S. legislation related to clean energy and climate policy;
- Integrated climate and sustainability training throughout management coursework, including procurement, human resources, and General Services Officer training;
- Included a module on the gender-climate nexus in FSI's flagship gender equality course, Promoting Gender Equality to Advance Foreign Policy. The module discusses both disproportionate impacts of the effects of climate change on women and girls and the role of women and girls as climate leaders.



Figure 5 Former Special Presidential Envoy for Climate John Kerry speaks to participants in a new Climate Tradecraft course. Similarly, individual bureaus and posts train personnel for specialized and/or localized climate concerns. Examples include:

 OES maintains Climate@State, an internal hub for information on climate policy and data, including a range of capacity building tools. For example, a "Climate 101" video series providing short lectures from top State Department experts on topics including Climate Science, International Climate Negotiations, and Adaptation and Resilience has received over 1,500 views from Department Staff. Climate@State's interactive Climate Data Hub has a suite of country and region-specific tools developed via the Climate Data Campaign, and a Climate Toolkit with extensive resources for climate action officers. Information from these and other tools are shared regularly with several hundred climate-focused officers as part of monthly "Climate Leads" calls convened by OES and USAID.

- The Bureau of Consular Affairs (CA) trains staff on heightened awareness of the needs of customers waiting outdoors for services in high heat or extreme cold;
- The Office of Crisis Management and Strategy (CMS) trains Department personnel on how to effectively prepare for and respond to natural hazards, climate events, and disease outbreaks. Mechanisms include event specific contingency planning, Emergency Action Committee reporting training, and interagency coordination;
- Embassy Canberra (Australia) coordinates an annual review in advance of the wildfire/brushfire season and updates emergency plans, disseminates information to the Embassy community, and holds training;
- OES hosts tabletop exercises for ESTH officers on how to meaningfully engage countries and multilateral institutions on climate adaptation and sustainability issues; and
- The Bureau of Population, Refugees, and Migration's (PRM) educates its staff on how climate change impacts the work of our partners and populations of concern.

#### Workforce:

The Department does not have a singular approach to climate adaptation and sustainability staffing. At the initiative of the Special Envoy for Climate Change (SPEC), the Department created and staffed twenty mid-level "Climate Officer" foreign service positions (14 overseas, 6 domestic) beginning in 2022. The Bureau of Global Talent Management (GTM) established professional development opportunities focused on critical mission areas using new training float positions authorized under the FY23 NDAA, to include 14 opportunities under the Climate, Environment, and Energy priority area. Additionally, in January 2024, GTM launched a lateral entry pilot program to provide mid-career entry into the Foreign Service for candidates with specialized skills and expertise in climate, environment, and energy.

#### **Agency Climate Training Efforts**

## Identify the percentage of the agency's Federal staff that have taken a 60+ minute introductory climate training course (e.g., Climate 101).

In FY24, FSI plans to design and deliver a Climate 101 training offering. As of January 2024, 147 mid-level foreign affairs professionals, including 100% of Regional Climate Officers, have completed one of the Department's two 40+ hour, proficiency-level climate trainings.

Climate@State's "Climate 101" video series have received over 1,500 views from Department Staff as of the release of this report. Climate@State also contains an interactive Climate Data Hub with a suite of country and region-specific tools developed via the Climate Data Campaign, and a Climate Toolkit with extensive resources for climate action officers. Additionally, FSI manages a "Climate Hub" of online resources on sustainability.

#### Detail the percent of the agency's senior leadership (e.g., Sec, Dep Sec, SES, Directors, Branch Chiefs, etc.) that have completed climate adaptation training.

FSI's Leadership and Management School is working to include a climate discussion in the Spring 2024 Chief of Mission (COM) courses. The 2024 Chief of Mission Conference will host a breakout session on climate issues. Several Deputy Chiefs of Mission have participated in FSI's Climate Diplomacy course. Additionally, FSI's Climate 101 course will be available to senior leadership.

#### Detail the percent of budget officials that have received climate adaptation related training.

Since Jan 1, 2023, 32 students have taken Financial Management Overseas (PA211), which includes climate adaptation related training. Additional opportunities available for budget officials with climate adaptation related training include Managerial Essentials for Overseas MGT (MTT101) - 280 students, Overseas Management Officer Training (PA243) - 55 students, and Overseas Facilities Management (PA525) - 53 students. Climate is not integrated into training provided to domestic budget officials.

#### Detail the percent acquisition officials that have received climate adaptation related training.

Since Jan 1, 2023, 49 students have taken GSO - Acquisitions (PA221ACQ), which includes climate adaptation related training. Additional opportunities available for acquisition officials with climate adaptation related training include General Services Operations (PA221RE) (52 students) and PA243 - Overseas Management Officer Training (55 students).

Since Jan 1, 2023, 549 people have taken a 120-minute green procurement course, produced in partnership with GSA, which includes adaptation content. The Office of the Procurement Executive's Training division will continue to provide one or more sustainable procurement courses to its acquisition workforce per year including the broader population of global acquisition officials (e.g., Contracting Officers, General Service Officers, Contracting Officer's Representatives, Program/Project Managers).

#### Detail additional efforts the agency is taking to develop a climate informed workforce.

See section 3C for efforts done to date. FSI plans to create additional training in FY24 to expand the suite of climate and sustainability offerings and has contracted a consulting firm to deliver a current state and future-visioning report on climate and sustainability training that will help inform onward training. In FY24, FSI will deliver a series of two-day workshops on climate finance, security, technology, communications, ambition, and resilience and FSI/MTT plans to deliver a dedicated Green Teams course.

#### **Agency Capacity**

Detail the number of full time Federal staff (FTE) across the agency that have tasks relevant to climate adaptation in their job description. Detail if the agency has contracting staff with tasks relevant to climate adaptation in their job description. Additionally, the agency may include information on climate adaptation staffing approaches in the narrative.

Seven position descriptions have the phrases "climate adaptation," "climate resilience," "climate resiliency," "natural hazards," or "climate hazards" in them. However, there are many civil

servants and foreign service officers in regional and functional bureaus and overseas posts who regularly engage in climate issues, including adaptation and resilience, but that may not be captured in their official titles and/or position descriptions.

The Department employs contractors who work on climate-adaptation related tasks. However, the Department does not track its contractors in a way that would allow for a count of the total number of individuals in such positions.

### **3D. Summary of Major Milestones**

Table 12: Summary of Milestones Resulting from Addressing Risks in the Implementation Plan

| Section of the     | Description of          | Climate Risk         | Indicators for success |
|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Implementation     | Milestone               | Addressed            |                        |
| Plan               |                         |                      |                        |
| Addressing Natural | 2024 – Share exposure   | All Hazards          | Number of SAMPs that   |
| Hazard Impacts on  | data with contractors.  |                      | include specific       |
| and Exposure to    | 2025-2027 – Annually    |                      | climate risk           |
| Federal Buildings  | complete three SAMPs    |                      | information and        |
|                    | for domestic properties |                      | number of SAMPs        |
|                    |                         |                      | completed.             |
| Addressing Natural | Release new natural     | Earthquake*, Flood,  | Number of posts at     |
| Hazard Impacts on  | hazard custom annex     | Landslide*, Tropical | moderate to high risk  |
| and Exposures to   | exemplars to diplomatic | Cyclone, Wildfire,   | for particular hazard  |
| Federal Employees  | posts to integrate into | Tsunami*, Volcanic   | integrating new        |
|                    | Emergency Action        | Eruption*            | exemplars into         |
|                    | Plans.                  | Where * indicates a  | Emergency Action       |
|                    |                         | natural hazard for   | Plan.                  |
|                    |                         | which an exemplar    |                        |
|                    |                         | is being created     |                        |

| Section of the     | Description of            | Climate Risk     | Indicators for success    |
|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| Implementation     | Milestone                 | Addressed        |                           |
| Plan               |                           |                  |                           |
| Addressing Climate | Update ORION to           | TBD (the         | Release of new            |
| Hazard Impacts on  | include natural hazard    | Department is    | dashboard feature         |
| and Exposures to   | exposure and/or risk.     | availability and | highlighting post         |
| Federal Employees  |                           | scope in 2024)   | specific natural hazard   |
|                    |                           |                  | exposure and/or risk.     |
| Climate Risk in    | Evaluate developing an    | All Hazards      | Issue specific guidance   |
| Budget and         | agency standard and       |                  | on assessing and          |
| Planning           | guidance for how          |                  | incorporating climate     |
|                    | bureaus and Missions      |                  | risk into budget          |
|                    | should assess, include    |                  | requests.                 |
|                    | or prioritize climate     |                  |                           |
|                    | risks in the budget and   |                  |                           |
|                    | planning process, as      |                  |                           |
|                    | well as how the risks     |                  |                           |
|                    | should be included in     |                  |                           |
|                    | routine cost benefit      |                  |                           |
|                    | analysis.                 |                  |                           |
| Climate Risk in    | Department-wide FAM       | All Hazards      | Number of policies        |
| Policy and         | and FAH policy review     |                  | updated referencing       |
| Programs           | for relevant climate risk |                  | climate risk, resilience, |
|                    | and resilience updates.   |                  | or adaptation             |
|                    |                           |                  | activities.               |

| Section of the    | Description of            | Climate Risk      | Indicators for success   |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Implementation    | Milestone                 | Addressed         |                          |
| Plan              |                           |                   |                          |
| Climate-Smart     | Identify mission critical | All Hazards       | Changes to contracts     |
| Supply Chains and | and mission dependent     |                   | for mission critical and |
| Procurement       | supplies and services     |                   | dependent supply and     |
|                   | procured through GSA      |                   | services that enhance    |
|                   | and provide a list to     |                   | risk mitigation.         |
|                   | GSA to formally partner   |                   |                          |
|                   | to address climate-       |                   |                          |
|                   | related vulnerabilities   |                   |                          |
|                   | to the Department's       |                   |                          |
|                   | supply chains.            |                   |                          |
| Climate Training  | Develop a Climate 101     | All Hazards (will | Number of personnel      |
| and Capacity      | Course for all            | encompass both    | who have taken           |
| Building          | Department personnel.     | mitigation and    | course.                  |
|                   |                           | adaptation)       |                          |
### **Section 4: Demonstrating Progress**

### **4A. Measuring Progress**

Key Performance Indicator: Climate Adaptation and Resilience Objectives and Performance Measures are Incorporated in Agency Program Planning and Budgeting by 2027.

Table 13: Climate Adaptation and Resilience Objectives and Performance MeasuresIncorporated in Agency Program Planning and Budgeting by 2027

| Section of the | Process Metric                          | Agency Response                 |
|----------------|---|---------------------------------|
| САР            |   |                                 |
| 3A –Addressing | Step 1: Agency has an                   | Step 1: Yes, through the        |
| Climate Hazard | implementation plan for 2024 that       | development of this plan the    |
| Impacts and    | connects climate hazard impacts and     | Department has a discrete list  |
| Exposure       | exposures to discrete actions that      | of activities to take in 2024.  |
|                | must be taken. (Y/N/Partially)          | Step 2: Partially, the          |
|                | Step 2: Agency has a list of discrete   | Department has a list of some   |
|                | actions that will be taken through 2027 | actions that will be taken      |
|                | as part of their implementation plan.   | through 2027. The Department    |
|                | (Y/N/Partially)                         | notes that this list of actions |
|                |   | will need to be expanded as     |
|                |   | progress is made on 2024        |
|                |   | actions.                        |

| Section of the   | Process Metric                           | Agency Response                 |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| САР              |  |                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 3B.1 –           | Agency has an established method of      | Partially, the Department's     |  |  |  |  |
| Accounting for   | including results of climate hazard      | Joint Strategic Plan (JSP)      |  |  |  |  |
| Climate Risk in  | risk exposure assessments into           | includes climate adaptation,    |  |  |  |  |
| Decision-making  | planning and decision-making             | for both policy and operations, |  |  |  |  |
|                  | processes.                               | which are further espoused in   |  |  |  |  |
|                  | (Y/N/Partially)                          | Agency Priority Goals.          |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  | Currently, most incorporation   |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  | of risk assessment into         |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  | planning and decision-making    |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  | is done at the bureau level.    |  |  |  |  |
| 3B.2 –           | Agency has an agency-wide process        | Partially, the Bureau of Budget |  |  |  |  |
| Incorporating    | and/or tools that incorporate climate    | and Planning (BP) directs       |  |  |  |  |
| Climate Risk     | risk into planning and budget decisions. | bureaus and Missions to         |  |  |  |  |
| Assessment into  | (Y/N/Partially)                          | explicitly include climate and  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Planning  |  | sustainability budget needs in  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  | their annual resource requests. |  |  |  |  |
| 3B.5 – Climate   | Step 1: By July 2025, agency will        | Step 1: Yes, the agency         |  |  |  |  |
| Informed         | identify grants that can include         | commits to identifying grants   |  |  |  |  |
| Funding to       | consideration and/or evaluation of       | that can include considerations |  |  |  |  |
| External Parties | climate risk.                            | and/or evaluation of climate    |  |  |  |  |
|                  | Step 2: Agency modernizes all            | risk by July 2025.              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | applicable funding                       | Step 2: Partially, in/by 2027   |  |  |  |  |
|                  | announcements/grants to include a        | the agency will modernize all   |  |  |  |  |
|                  | requirement for the grantee to           | applicable funding              |  |  |  |  |
|                  | consider climate hazard exposures.       | announcements to include a      |  |  |  |  |
|                  | (Y/N/Partially)                          | requirement for the grantee to  |  |  |  |  |
|                  |  | consider climate exposures.     |  |  |  |  |

## Key Performance Indicator: Data Management Systems and Analytical Tools are Updated to Incorporate Relevant Climate Change Information by 2027.

Table 14: Climate Adaptation and Resilience Objectives and Performance Measures DataManagement Systems

| Section of the | Process Metric                        | Agency Response |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| САР            |                                       |                 |
| 3A –Addressing | Agency has identified the information | Yes             |
| Climate Hazard | systems that need to incorporate      |                 |
| Impacts and    | climate change data and information,  |                 |
| Exposure       | and will incorporate climate change   |                 |
|                | information into those systems by     |                 |
|                | 2027. (Y/N/Partially)                 |                 |

# Key Performance Indicator: Agency CAPs Address Multiple Climate Hazard Impacts and Other Stressors, and Demonstrate Nature-Based Solutions, Equitable Approaches, and Mitigation Co-Benefits to Adaptation and Resilience Objectives.

Table 15: Climate Adaptation and Resilience Objectives and Performance Measures MultipleClimate Hazard Impacts

| Section of the    | Process Metric                     | Agency Response                 |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| САР               |                                    |                                 |
| 3B.3 –            | By July 2025, 100% of climate      | Partially. The Department does  |
| Incorporating     | adaptation and resilience policies | not have explicit policies for  |
| Climate Risk into | have been reviewed and revised to  | adaptation and resilience for   |
| Policy and        | (as relevant) incorporate nature-  | management and operations,      |
| Programs          | based solutions, mitigation co-    | but will review existing design |
|                   | benefits, and equity principles.   | standards, budget and           |
|                   | (Y/N/Partially)                    | planning processes, and         |
|                   |                                    | programs to incorporate these   |
|                   |                                    | principles by 2025.             |

Key Performance Indicator: Federal Assets and Supply Chains are Evaluated for Risk to Climate Hazards and Other Stressors Through Existing Protocols and/or the Development of New Protocols; Response Protocols for Extreme Events are Updated by 2027.

Table 16: Climate Adaptation and Resilience Objectives and Performance Measures Existingand New Protocols

| Section of the  | Process Metric                          | Agency Response             |
|-----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| САР             |   |                             |
| 3B.4 – Climate- | Step 1: Agency has assessed climate     | Step 1: Yes                 |
| Smart Supply    | exposure to its top 5 most mission-     | Step 2: Partially. The      |
| Chains and      | critical supply chains. (Y/N/Partially) | Department needs to develop |
| Procurement     | Step 2: By July 2026, agency has        | a more granular plan.       |
|                 | assessed services and established a     |                             |
|                 | plan for addressing/overcoming          |                             |
|                 | disruption from climate hazards.        |                             |
|                 | (Y/N/Partially)                         |                             |

| Section of the | Process Metric                        | Agency Response                  |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| САР            |                                       |                                  |
|                | Agency has identified priorities,     | No. By February 2025, the        |
|                | developed strategies, and established | Department will identify         |
|                | goals based on the assessment of      | mission-critical and mission-    |
|                | climate hazard risks to critical      | dependent supplies and           |
|                | supplies and services.                | services procured through GSA    |
|                | (Y/N/Partially)                       | and provide a list to GSA to     |
|                |                                       | formally partner to address      |
|                |                                       | climate-related vulnerabilities  |
|                |                                       | to the Department's supply       |
|                |                                       | chains. The Department will      |
|                |                                       | address its vulnerabilities to   |
|                |                                       | climate change, as well as       |
|                |                                       | extreme weather incidents, at    |
|                |                                       | the order level and GSA will     |
|                |                                       | determine if opportunities       |
|                |                                       | exist to address vulnerabilities |
|                |                                       | in contract vehicles.            |
|                |                                       |                                  |

# Key Performance Indicator: By 2027, agency staff are trained in climate adaptation and resilience and related agency protocols and procedures.

# Table 17: Climate Adaptation and Resilience Objectives and Performance Measures by StaffTraining

| Section of the    | Process Metric                          | Agency Response                  |
|-------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| САР               |   |                                  |
| 3C – Climate      | Step 1: By December 2024 100% of        | Step 1: Yes                      |
| Training and      | agency leadership have been briefed     | Step 2: Partially. FSI is now    |
| Capacity Building | on current agency climate adaptation    | working to create an             |
| for a Climate     | efforts and actions outlined in their   | introductory Climate 101         |
| Informed          | 2024 CAP. (Y/N/Partially)               | training course in FY24 to       |
| Workforce         | Step 2: Does the agency have a          | expand climate literacy more     |
|                   | Climate 101 training for your           | broadly.                         |
|                   | workforce? (Y/N/Partially) If yes, what | Step 3: Partially. FSI created a |
|                   | percent of staff have completed the     | climate and sustainability       |
|                   | training?                               | program in FY23 and has          |
|                   | Step 3: By July 2025, 100 %             | trained 147 mid-level foreign    |
|                   | employees have completed climate        | affairs professionals, including |
|                   | 101 trainings. (Y/N/Partially)          | Regional Climate Officers, as of |
|                   |   | January 2024                     |

#### 4B. Adaptation in Action

#### Narrative:

#### **Progress since the 2021 Agency Climate Adaptation Plan:**

**Goal 1: Enhancing mobility and remote access for diplomats and citizens**. The Department adopted a "Tech for Life" policy under which all U.S. direct-hire employees are provided mobile devices, including laptops and mobile phones, that they take with them as they transfer to new positions at the Department, for the life of the device (3-5 years). More than 24,000 laptops have been distributed through this effort, allowing increased employee mobility and resilience to climate disruptions. To advance mobility and remote access, the Department enabled phone numbers to be tied to employees' Teams accounts so that personnel can be reached in any hoteling space via Teams or phone. The Department will continue working to provide workplace flexibility resources, including a telework toolkit, policy templates, and training.

**Goal 2: Emergency preparedness and action assessments and updates:** The Department updated the FAH to emphasize existing policy requiring post's Emergency Action Committees (EAC) Chairs to ensure post-specific risks, including those related to climate hazards, are identified and addressed in Post Emergency Action Plans. Similarly, existing policy outlining Emergency Action Committee responsibilities was updated to emphasize the existing requirements for Emergency Action Committees to identify, plan for, and document postspecific risks, including those related to climate hazards in their Emergency Action Plans. OBO assessed the climate resilience of Emergency Action Plans and found in 2022 that 25% of all posts do not have a custom annex for at least one acute onset climate or natural hazard to which they have moderate to high exposure. The Department is working to address this gap by developing response plan exemplars for hazards to include earthquake, tsunami, flooding, landslide, tropical cyclone, volcanic eruptions, and wildfires. The Department will share these exemplars with overseas posts to use when developing their own post-specific response plans, which should be incorporated in their Emergency Action Plan. **Goal 3:** Program building to support climate-ready sites and facilities: Domestically, A Bureau has used Strategic Asset Management Plans to develop long-term plans for Department facilities, taking into consideration sustainability and resilience. Overseas, the Department is working to better understand its exposure to natural and climate hazards, including volcanoes and wildland fire, and has developed maps/indices that will help us identify our level of exposure to these hazards.

**Goal 4: Supply chain and procurement evaluation**: A Bureau's of the Procurement Executive completed GSA's Supply Chain Climate Risk Assessment across four categories including local supplies (such as food, fuel, and water), IT equipment, medical supplies, and construction materials. The Department found that IT and construction materials have the highest potential for climate-related disruption due to the projected impacts of heat and water stress in East Asia, where much of the supply is manufactured. The Department is working to apply these findings through the Center for Acquisition Excellence and coordination with A Bureau's Office of Logistics Management.

**Goal 5: Improving local infrastructure through host country engagement:** The Department is working to improve infrastructure in host countries by using eco-diplomacy to share best practices, establish joint projects to improve resilience, and demonstrate U.S. climate leadership. The Deputy Chief Sustainability Officer in M/SS chairs the Network for Sustainable Foreign Ministries to further create collaboration and engagement opportunities and oversees the Greening Diplomacy Initiative (GDI) platform to share best practices from the more than 130 Green Teams at diplomatic posts worldwide on engagement with host communities on resilience and sustainability. M/SS partnered with the White House to launch GDI, a community of practice to promote engagement with national governments working to increase the sustainability and resilience of their operations. Additionally, implementation of the President's Emergency Plan for Adaptation and Resilience (PREPARE) is ongoing and will support infrastructure improvements in many places where the Department operates.

### **Appendices**

#### **Appendix A: Risk Assessment Data**

The risk assessment done in Section 2 of this plan uses the following data:

#### **Buildings**

Buildings data comes from the Department's internal Bureau of Administration Office of Real Property Management real property tracking system. The dataset was generated in October 2023 and includes properties under Departmental custody and control, properties leased from commercial and other non-federal entities, properties occupied by State and owned by GSA or other non-Department agency, and XO locations. XO locations are properties where the Department has a limited personnel presence on another entity's facility and no administrative authority: for example, a domestic university where a Foreign Service Officer is completing a tour.

#### Personnel

Personnel data comes from the Office of Personnel Management's (OPM) non-public dataset of all personnel employed by the federal government that was provided in 2023. The data contains a number of adjustments, including exclusion of military or intelligence agency personnel, aggregation of personnel data to the county level, and suppression of personnel data for duty stations of less than 5 personnel. Despite these adjustments, this data is still useful for screening-level exposure assessments to provide a sense of key areas of climate hazard exposure for agency personnel.

#### **Climate Hazards**

The climate data used in the risk assessment comes from the data in <u>Climate Mapping for</u> <u>Resilience and Adaptation</u> (CMRA) Assessment Tool. When agency climate adaptation plans were initiated in 2023, CMRA data included climate data prepared for NCA4. Additional details on this data can be found on the <u>CMRA Assessment Tool Data Sources page</u>. Due to limited data availability, exposure analyses using the Federal Mapping App are largely limited to the contiguous United States (CONUS). Additional information regarding Alaska, Hawai'i, U.S. Territories, and marine environments has been included as available.

### **Appendix B: Overseas Climate Adaptation Plan**

Maps, and Graphs for Overseas Facilities and Personnel Exposure to Climate Hazards

#### **Definitions:**

#### **Extreme Heat**

The DOS International Extreme Heat metric indicates a projected increase in average number of extreme heat days per year compared to present-day data as baseline. Extreme heat days are defined as days where the heat index exceeds the National Weather Service's "extreme danger" threshold of 130°F (including heat and humidity). Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100 time horizons, respectively (differing from the Federal Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Application 2050 and 2080). For equivalent comparison, the "Present" metric compares the baseline data with the near-term 2035 time horizon. Note that this metric does not capture sustained periods of extreme heat (heat waves).

#### **Extreme Precipitation**

The DOS International Extreme Precipitation metric indicates an area of heavy precipitation  $(98^{th} \text{ percentile} \ge 20 \text{ mm} \text{ per Zhang et al. (2011)})$  with  $\ge 1.0$  average extreme precipitation days per year and an increasing trend in average extreme precipitation days. Since the dataset is based only on historical data, it does not include projected time horizons but does indicate upward trends based on Mann-Kendall trend tests of 1950-2022 reference data.

#### **Coastal Flooding**

The DOS International Coastal Flooding metric indicates a 100-yr flood depth of  $\geq$  2m. The Coastal Flooding metric is a comprehensive measure of coastal inundation and compares extreme high tide to elevation data and incorporates projected factors including sea level rise and vertical land movement (e.g., land subsidence). Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100 time horizons, respectively (differing from the Federal Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Application 2050 and 2080).

#### **Riverine Flooding**

The DOS International Riverine Flooding metric indicates inundation > or equal to 0.5m for the 500-, 100-, and 25-year riverine flood return periods. This data is based on two models (GAR15 and WRI Aqueducts) where we counted any post that was exposed in one or both models. Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2050 and 2080 time horizons, respectively (aligning with Federal Climate Mapping for Resilience and Adaptation Application time horizons). Note that this metric does not currently account for potential exposure to pluvial (rainfall-induced) flooding.

#### Wildfire

The DOS International Wildfire metric indicates locations at high or very high exposure to structural burning due to wildfires. The internal screening data is based on MODIS active fire data, global biome data, land cover data, and wildland-urban interface data.<sup>24</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Zhang, X., Alexander, L., Hegerl, G. C., Jones, P., Tank, A. K., Peterson, T. C., Trewin, B., & Zwiers, F. W. (2011). Indices for monitoring changes in extremes based on daily temperature and precipitation data. Wiley "Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change, 2(6), 851-870."

#### **Facilities Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 8.5)**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan





\* Hazards labeled with this symbol do not have projected data. The data shown is for present-day hazard.

#### Facilities Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 4.5)

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan





\* Hazards labeled with this symbol do not have projected data. The data shown is for present-day hazard.

#### Facilities Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 4.5)

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

| Values                                       | AF  | EAP | EUR | NEA | SCA | WHA | Grand Total |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Extreme Heat Present (RCP4.5)                | 75% | 79% | 42% | 44% | 61% | 60% | 60%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065)       | 76% | 79% | 43% | 65% | 58% | 62% | 64%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100)      | 76% | 79% | 50% | 73% | 58% | 62% | 66%         |
| Extreme Precip Present                       | 37% | 36% | 10% | 8%  | 12% | 31% | 23%         |
| Coastal Flooding Present                     | 2%  | 2%  | 1%  | 6%  | 3%  | 1%  | 2%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065)   | 2%  | 3%  | 1%  | 8%  | 3%  | 1%  | 3%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100)  | 2%  | 3%  | 1%  | 8%  | 3%  | 1%  | 3%          |
| Riverine Flooding Present                    | 25% | 49% | 37% | 28% | 32% | 20% | 31%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2050)  | 26% | 49% | 37% | 34% | 37% | 22% | 33%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP4.5 Late-Century (2080) | 25% | 58% | 38% | 34% | 39% | 22% | 35%         |
| Wildfire Present                             | 40% | 7%  | 0%  | 0%  | 2%  | 8%  | 10%         |

#### Facilities Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 8.5)

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

| Values                                       | AF  | EAP | EUR | NEA | SCA | WHA | Grand Total |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Extreme Heat Present (RCP8.5)                | 76% | 79% | 42% | 55% | 61% | 60% | 62%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065)       | 78% | 81% | 68% | 86% | 64% | 66% | 74%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100)      | 91% | 89% | 88% | 94% | 83% | 82% | 88%         |
| Extreme Precip Present                       | 37% | 36% | 10% | 8%  | 12% | 31% | 23%         |
| Coastal Flooding Present                     | 2%  | 2%  | 1%  | 6%  | 3%  | 1%  | 2%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065)   | 2%  | 3%  | 1%  | 8%  | 3%  | 1%  | 3%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100)  | 3%  | 3%  | 2%  | 10% | 3%  | 2%  | 4%          |
| Riverine Flooding Present                    | 25% | 49% | 37% | 28% | 32% | 20% | 31%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2050)  | 29% | 54% | 37% | 34% | 40% | 21% | 35%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP8.5 Late-Century (2080) | 31% | 57% | 38% | 34% | 44% | 22% | 36%         |
| Wildfire Present                             | 40% | 7%  | 0%  | 0%  | 2%  | 8%  | 10%         |

### Personnel Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 4.5)

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

Represents sum of total personnel count (from F-77 Report of Potential Evacuees) at any affected posts. "Affected post" is defined as any post where the hazard threshold was met either at the primary building (e.g., chancery) or by a weighted average of all facilities.





\* Hazards labeled with this symbol do not have projected data. The data shown is for present-day hazard.

#### **Personnel Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 8.5)**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

Represents sum of total personnel count (from F-77 Report of Potential Evacuees) at any affected posts. "Affected post" is defined as any post where the hazard threshold was met either at the primary building (e.g., chancery) or by a weighted average of all facilities.





\* Hazards labeled with this symbol do not have projected data. The data shown is for present-day hazard.

### Personnel Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 4.5)

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

Represents sum of total personnel count (from F-77 Report of Potential Evacuees) at any affected posts. 'Affected post' is defined as any post where the hazard threshold was met either at the primary building (e.g., chancery) or by a weighted average of all facilities.

| Values                                       | AF  | EAP | EUR | NEA | SCA | WHA | Grand Total |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Extreme Heat Present (RCP4.5)                | 75% | 63% | 19% | 48% | 55% | 50% | 48%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065)       | 94% | 78% | 85% | 84% | 90% | 92% | 87%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100)      | 94% | 78% | 86% | 88% | 90% | 92% | 88%         |
| Extreme Precip Present                       | 35% | 37% | 12% | 8%  | 15% | 28% | 24%         |
| Coastal Flooding Present                     | 4%  | 0%  | 1%  | 0%  | 5%  | 2%  | 2%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065)   | 4%  | 2%  | 1%  | 12% | 5%  | 2%  | 3%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100)  | 4%  | 2%  | 1%  | 12% | 5%  | 2%  | 3%          |
| Riverine Flooding Present                    | 31% | 39% | 46% | 40% | 15% | 32% | 37%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2050)  | 35% | 37% | 48% | 44% | 25% | 38% | 40%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP4.5 Late-Century (2080) | 35% | 41% | 50% | 44% | 30% | 37% | 41%         |
| Wildfire Present                             | 37% | 18% | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 8%  | 11%         |

### Personnel Affected: Present vs. Projected (RCP 8.5)

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

Represents sum of total personnel count (from F-77 Report of Potential Evacuees) at any affected posts. 'Affected post' is defined as any post where the hazard threshold was met either at the primary building (e.g., chancery) or by a weighted average of all facilities.

| Values                                       | AF  | EAP | EUR | NEA  | SCA | WHA | Grand Total |
|--|-----|-----|-----|------|-----|-----|-------------|
| Extreme Heat Present (RCP8.5)                | 75% | 63% | 25% | 60%  | 55% | 55% | 52%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065)       | 94% | 82% | 85% | 92%  | 95% | 97% | 90%         |
| Extreme Heat RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100)      | 96% | 92% | 93% | 100% | 95% | 98% | 95%         |
| Extreme Precip Present                       | 35% | 37% | 12% | 8%   | 15% | 28% | 24%         |
| Coastal Flooding Present                     | 4%  | 0%  | 1%  | 0%   | 5%  | 2%  | 2%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065)   | 4%  | 2%  | 1%  | 12%  | 5%  | 2%  | 3%          |
| Coastal Flooding RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100)  | 4%  | 2%  | 2%  | 12%  | 5%  | 5%  | 4%          |
| Riverine Flooding Present                    | 31% | 39% | 46% | 40%  | 15% | 32% | 37%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2050)  | 37% | 39% | 48% | 44%  | 35% | 38% | 41%         |
| Riverine Flooding RCP8.5 Late-Century (2080) | 38% | 41% | 49% | 44%  | 35% | 38% | 42%         |
| Wildfire Present                             | 37% | 18% | 0%  | 0%   | 0%  | 8%  | 11%         |



### Extreme Heat (Present) Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Heat RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065) Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Heat RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100) Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Heat RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065) Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Heat RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100) Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Precipitation Exposure by Bureau



### Wildfire Exposure by Bureau

#### **Appendix: Descriptions of Additional Hazard Metrics**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

#### Earthquake

Moderate High, High, or Very High seismicity zonation based on FEMA P-154 methodology.

#### Extreme Wind

1000-year wind speed  $\geq$  154 km/hr based on both hourly wind speed and cyclonic wind speed sources from NASA MERRA2 data. Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100 time horizons, respectively (differing from the FCAP tool's 2050 and 2080).

#### Landslide

Average annual frequency of occurrence per km2  $\geq$  0.001 for a significant landslide occurring due to rainfall or earthquake triggers based on World Bank's packaging of NASA landslide data and NOAA rainfall data.

#### Tsunami

500-yr tsunami inundation depth  $\geq$  1 m based on Global Tsunami Model tsunami wave heights and NASADEM/GMTED2010 ground elevation within 10 km of coastline. Mid-Century and Late-Century projections represent the 2065 and 2100 time horizons, respectively (differing from the FCAP tool's 2050 and 2080).

#### Volcano

Moderate, High, or Very High threat of volcano based on modified 12-parameter USGS NVEWS methodology, proximal distance, and probabilistic ashfall exposure.

#### Water Stress

Medium High, High, or Very High ratio of water demand to water supply for a hydrological subbasin based on World Resources Institute's 2019 update of the Aqueduct water risk framework.

#### **Appendix: Facilities Affected by Additional Hazards (RCP 4.5)**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan



\* Hazards labeled with this symbol do not have projected data. The data shown is for present-day hazard (except for Water Stress Mid-Century and Water Stress Late Century, which both use time horizon 2035).

#### **Appendix: Facilities Affected by Additional Hazards (RCP 8.5)**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan



\* Hazards labeled with this symbol do not have projected data. The data shown is for present-day hazard (except for Water Stress Mid-Century and Water Stress Late Century, which both use time horizon 2035).

### **Appendix: Facilities Affected by Additional Hazards (RCP 4.5)**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

| Values                                  | AF  | EAP | EUR | NEA | SCA | WHA | Grand Total |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Earthquake                              | 16% | 46% | 55% | 35% | 77% | 62% | 47%         |
| Tsunami Present                         | 1%  | 6%  | 0%  | 4%  | 3%  | 2%  | 2%          |
| Tsunami RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065)       | 1%  | 7%  | 0%  | 5%  | 3%  | 2%  | 3%          |
| Tsunami RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100)      | 2%  | 7%  | 0%  | 5%  | 3%  | 2%  | 3%          |
| Landslide                               | 2%  | 11% | 8%  | 5%  | 11% | 22% | 11%         |
| Volcano                                 | 0%  | 17% | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 26% | 9%          |
| Extreme Wind Present                    | 5%  | 77% | 0%  | 3%  | 30% | 59% | 30%         |
| Extreme Wind RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065)  | 6%  | 78% | 1%  | 13% | 30% | 63% | 33%         |
| Extreme Wind RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100) | 6%  | 78% | 1%  | 13% | 30% | 63% | 33%         |
| Water Stress Present                    | 35% | 41% | 59% | 88% | 51% | 32% | 50%         |
| Water Stress RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2035)  | 34% | 72% | 69% | 87% | 89% | 48% | 63%         |

### **Appendix: Facilities Affected by Additional Hazards (RCP 8.5)**

Department of State (Overseas Facilities) Climate Adaptation Plan

| Values                                  | AF  | EAP | EUR | NEA | SCA | WHA | Grand Total |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------|
| Earthquake                              | 16% | 46% | 55% | 35% | 77% | 62% | 47%         |
| Tsunami Present                         | 1%  | 6%  | 0%  | 4%  | 3%  | 2%  | 2%          |
| Tsunami RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065)       | 1%  | 7%  | 0%  | 5%  | 3%  | 2%  | 3%          |
| Tsunami RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100)      | 2%  | 7%  | 0%  | 7%  | 3%  | 3%  | 3%          |
| Landslide                               | 2%  | 11% | 8%  | 5%  | 11% | 22% | 11%         |
| Volcano                                 | 0%  | 17% | 0%  | 0%  | 0%  | 26% | 9%          |
| Extreme Wind Present                    | 5%  | 77% | 0%  | 3%  | 30% | 59% | 30%         |
| Extreme Wind RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065)  | 6%  | 78% | 1%  | 13% | 30% | 63% | 33%         |
| Extreme Wind RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100) | 7%  | 78% | 1%  | 13% | 30% | 63% | 33%         |
| Water Stress Present                    | 35% | 41% | 59% | 88% | 51% | 32% | 50%         |
| Water Stress RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2035)  | 34% | 72% | 69% | 87% | 82% | 48% | 63%         |

SCA



### Landslide Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Wind (Present) Exposure by Bureau



### Extreme Wind RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2065) Exposure by Bureau


# Extreme Wind RCP4.5 Late-Century (2100) Exposure by Bureau



## Extreme Wind RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2065) Exposure by Bureau



# Extreme Wind RCP8.5 Late-Century (2100) Exposure by Bureau

SCA



# Water Stress (Present) Exposure by Bureau



# Water Stress RCP8.5 Mid-Century (2035) Exposure by Bureau



# Water Stress RCP4.5 Mid-Century (2035) Exposure by Bureau